

## Before Starting the CoC Application

The CoC Consolidated Application consists of three parts, the CoC Application, the CoC Priority Listing, and all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected. All three must be submitted for the CoC Consolidated Application to be considered complete.

The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2018 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Available (NOFA) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2018 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.
6. Questions marked with an asterisk (\*), which are mandatory and require a response.

## 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

### **Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this application, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**1A-1. CoC Name and Number:** CA-511 - Stockton/San Joaquin County CoC

**1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name:** San Joaquin County

**1A-3. CoC Designation:** CA

**1A-4. HMIS Lead:** Central Valley Low Income Housing Corp.

## 1B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Engagement

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this application, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**1B-1. CoC Meeting Participants. For the period from May 1, 2017 to April 30, 2018, using the list below, applicant must: (1) select organizations and persons that participate in CoC meetings; and (2) indicate whether the organizations and persons vote, including selecting CoC Board members.**

| Organization/Person Categories                       | Participates in CoC Meetings | Votes, including selecting CoC Board Members |
|--|------------------------------|--|
| Local Government Staff/Officials                     | Yes                          | Yes  |
| CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction               | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Law Enforcement                                      | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Local Jail(s)  | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Hospital(s)  | Yes                          | Yes  |
| EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)                          | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Mental Health Service Organizations                  | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Substance Abuse Service Organizations                | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Affordable Housing Developer(s)                      | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Disability Service Organizations                     | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Disability Advocates                                 | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Public Housing Authorities                           | Yes                          | Yes  |
| CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations              | Not Applicable               | No   |
| Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations          | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Youth Advocates                                      | Yes                          | Yes  |
| School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons              | Yes                          | Yes  |
| CoC Funded Victim Service Providers                  | Not Applicable               | No   |
| Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Providers              | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Domestic Violence Advocates                          | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Street Outreach Team(s)                              | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBT) Advocates | Yes                          | Yes  |
| LGBT Service Organizations                           | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Agencies that serve survivors of human trafficking   | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Other homeless subpopulation advocates               | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons                | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Mental Illness Advocates                             | Yes                          | Yes  |
| Substance Abuse Advocates                            | Yes                          | Yes  |

|                                    |     |     |
|------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| <b>Other:(limit 50 characters)</b> |     |     |
| ES housing providers               | Yes | Yes |
| TH & RRH housing providers         | Yes | Yes |
| PSH housing providers              | Yes | Yes |

**1B-1a. Applicants must describe the specific strategy the CoC uses to solicit and consider opinions from organizations and/or persons that have an interest in preventing or ending homelessness. (limit 2,000 characters)**

For the past 24 months the CoC has worked closely with the San Joaquin County Homeless Task Force to involve community and government leaders in the development of approaches to improve homeless prevention and end homelessness. Through work groups targeting specific topics related to homelessness, ideas and opinions are shared and discussed at monthly Task Force meetings, and periodic presentations are made to local governing bodies. Task Force policies and strategies regarding homelessness are put forward to local governing bodies for adoption. Meetings are publicly noticed and members of the public, including those who are homeless, are encouraged to attend. Together, the CoC and Task Force involve more than 200 individuals representing 98 service providers, faith-based organizations, business organizations, developers, property owners, local government, and main stream programs.

**1B-2.Open Invitation for New Members. Applicants must describe:**

- (1) the invitation process;**
  - (2) how the CoC communicates the invitation process to solicit new members;**
  - (3) how often the CoC solicits new members; and**
  - (4) any special outreach the CoC conducted to ensure persons experiencing homelessness or formerly homeless persons are encouraged to join the CoC.**
- (limit 2,000 characters)**

1.The CoC has historically been open to participation by all community interests and actively works through a standing committee to identify organizations directly and indirectly involved or interested in addressing the issues impacting people experiencing homelessness. All meetings are publicly noticed and open to all members of the public. Committee chairs routinely extend invitations via direct communication to non-CoC members that have an interest or expertise in the particular areas of focus.

2.Organizations identified by the CoC as having an interest are personally contacted by a CoC representative and invited to participate in CoC activities. Recognizing that there may be persons or groups with interests that may not have been identified, the CoC regularly issues public invitations to regular and committee meetings through general public announcements in local media and through mass emails. Existing CoC members are encouraged to also make personal invitations to others. In addition to homeless service providers, efforts are made to assure participation by those with special advocacy goals,

including domestic violence service providers, youth service providers, the LGBTQ community, faith based groups, business groups, and local government.

3.The CoC solicits new members on a continuing basis throughout the year using public announcements and email notices in addition to the work of the CoC standing membership committee.

4.In order to encourage participation by persons currently experiencing homelessness, meeting notices are posted in emergency shelters and shared by street outreach teams. The CoC membership committee invites service providers to provide contact information on persons formerly experiencing homelessness and invitations to participate are extended

**1B-3.Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Funded. Applicants must describe how the CoC notified the public that it will accept and consider proposals from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding, even if the CoC is not applying for new projects in FY 2018, and the response must include the date(s) the CoC publicly announced it was open to proposals. (limit 2,000 characters)**

The CoC posted the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) publicly on the San Joaquin County Community Development Department website (<http://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-bin/cdyn.exe?grp=neighpresv&htm=grantsmanage>) on June 25, 2018. In addition, at the same time, the CoC posted a notice inviting letters of interest for both new and renewal projects from the entire community at the same location. The CoC also notified all persons and organizations associated with the CoC and Homeless Task Force about the invitation for letters of interest by email. Prior to the release of the NOFA, on April 12, 2018, an email was sent to service providers asking that they consider the possibility of proposing new or bonus projects in the forth-coming CoC competition.

The application process is open to any new or continuing projects that might benefit persons experiencing homelessness within the CoC's geographic boundaries, and public input, feedback or suggestions on potential programs are encouraged. Data from the annual Point in Time and Housing Inventory Counts are made public and shared with local stakeholders in order to inform project proposals and encourage innovative new projects.

As part of this year's competition the CoC has actively encouraged organizations not previously funded to apply for the DV bonus and for a regular bonus project to acquire permanent housing space.

# 1C. Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordination

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this application, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**1C-1. CoCs Coordination, Planning, and Operation of Projects. Applicants must use the chart below to identify the federal, state, local, private, and other organizations that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness that are included in the CoCs coordination, planning, and operation of projects.**

| Entities or Organizations the CoC coordinates planning and operation of projects                                      | Coordinates with Planning and Operation of Projects |
|---|---|
| Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)   | Yes   |
| Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)  | Yes   |
| Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)  | Yes   |
| Head Start Program  | Yes   |
| Funding Collaboratives  | Yes   |
| Private Foundations   | Yes   |
| Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Funded Housing and Service Programs     | Yes   |
| Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Health and Human Services (HHS) Funded Housing and Service Programs | Yes   |
| Housing and service programs funded through other Federal resources   | Yes   |
| Housing and services programs funded through State Government   | Yes   |
| Housing and services programs funded through Local Government   | Yes   |
| Housing and service programs funded through private entities, including foundations                                   | No  |
| Other:(limit 50 characters)   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |

**1C-2. CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients. Applicants must describe how the CoC:**  
**(1) consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG funds; and**  
**(2) participated in the evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients.**  
**(limit 2,000 characters)**

The CoC Collaborative Applicant, San Joaquin County Community Development (CCD), is also the recipient and local administering entity of allocated entitlement ESG funds to San Joaquin County and acts as the Administrative Entity on behalf of

the CoC for ESG funds distributed through the State of California. By assuming roles regarding both CoC and ESG planning and allocation, there is assurance of consistency between both elements.

The City of Stockton is the other entitlement recipient of ESG within the CoC. City representatives have typically been members of the CoC board. CCD works strongly and closely with the City of Stockton regarding Stockton's entitlement ESG program in coordinating project goals and objectives, reviewing funding recommendations, and creating joint agreements on program performance standards.

The CoC's continuing evaluation of the Collaborative Applicant includes review of ESG recipient performance. Performance of ESG sub-recipients is monitored by both recipients and is enhanced through regular feedback from the CoC HMIS Lead Agency through reports on performance and achievement of objectives. Performance measures are a key component of sub-recipient evaluations and are used in determining whether or not to continue providing funding support to sub-recipients.

**1C-2a. Providing PIT and HIC Data to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions. Did the CoC provide Point-in-Time (PIT) and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area?** Yes to both

**1C-2b. Providing Other Data to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions. Did the CoC provide local homelessness information other than PIT and HIC data to the jurisdiction(s) Consolidated Plan(s)?** Yes

**1C-3. Addressing the Safety Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors. Applicants must describe:**

**(1) the CoC's protocols, including the existence of the CoC's emergency transfer plan, that prioritizes safety and trauma-informed, victim-centered services to prioritize safety; and  
(2) how the CoC maximizes client choice for housing and services while ensuring safety and confidentiality.  
(limit 2,000 characters)**

1. Projects within the CoC that serve victims of domestic violence are required to ensure that an emergency transfer plan is in place in accordance with the Violence Against Women Act and the protocols of the CoC. The CoC has adopted protocols developed by the Women's Center-Youth & Family Services that are client centered and trauma-informed. The plan includes options for alternative housing when a survivor feels safety has been compromised at the original housing location; it includes partnerships with programs in nearby counties, collaboration between CoC emergency shelter projects and RRH projects, and relocation to other existing support systems. The adopted

protocols, including emergency transfer options, are based on the perception of safety and well-being voiced by survivors of domestic violence themselves and provide the necessary trauma-informed emotional supports to victims and their children that minimize distress.

2.All of the CoC RRH and PSH projects provide scattered site housing options that emphasize client choice in identifying the type and location of housing, working in conjunction with project staff to identify housing and services that most closely meet those needs. For DV survivors, referral processes to RRH and PSH projects have been implemented that provide for confidentiality. Once referrals have been made, RRH and PSH project staff use best practices to work with survivors to identify housing options that help ensure future safety and stability for the individual or family. Confidentiality is maintained during negotiations with landlords to prevent being denied housing opportunities for program participants escaping domestic violence. In accordance with HEARTH standards, client choice regarding services is paramount; by using a victim-centered approach, case managers and other project staff assist in linking project participants to the services best able to provide continuing support and promote recovery and self-sufficiency.

**1C-3a. Applicants must describe how the CoC coordinates with victim services providers to provide annual training to CoC area projects and Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices in serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. (limit 2,000 characters)**

1.CoC area project staff, most notably Women’s Center-Youth & Family Services, which is the primary DV service provider in the CoC, as well as Child Protective Services and Child Abuse Prevention Council, provide regular training to all housing providers, regardless of type, on the impact of DV on households and best practices for addressing DV issues through workshops and individual agency staff trainings throughout the year. CoC and ESG funded housing providers have developed and implemented safety protocols to protect DV survivors and assure appropriate referrals based on trauma-informed approaches. A specific example of efforts to educate area project staff regarding trauma-informed and victim-centered safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence is work of the San Joaquin County Human Trafficking Task Force, which was chaired until recently by the CEO of Women’s Center and includes representation from the above mentioned organizations as well as nearly every CoC participant organization engaged in solutions relating to domestic violence.

2.In almost every case, Coordinated Entry staff are the same staff that provide CoC and ESG funded project services involved in the Coordinated Assessment process, and receive the same training on best practices related to persons experiencing homelessness and domestic violence related issues as referenced above. Due to the nature of the collaborative service environment within our CoC, each organization engaged in coordinated entry works closely with staff from partner organizations to address best practices on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence, so that those best practices learned by staff at one CoC agency are frequently communicated and adopted by staff at another CoC agency. Through this process, the training provided through participation in the CoC is leveraged exponentially throughout the



geographic area.

**1C-3b. Applicants must describe the data the CoC uses to assess the scope of community needs related to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, including data from a comparable database. (limit 2,000 characters)**

In order to assess the scope of community needs related to homelessness and domestic violence, the CoC utilizes de-identified aggregate data from HMIS, captured by all CoC and ESG funded providers, and from a comparable database used by DV providers within the CoC. The CoC looks at the frequency and percentage of domestic violence among people experiencing homelessness, whether people are immediately fleeing or are reporting prior experiences, the types of services accessed, and housing outcomes. The CoC also reviews and compares data from advocacy groups and law enforcement on incidents of domestic violence (including dating violence), the number of restraining orders related to domestic violence, and other community statistics on sexual assault and stalking. The CoC assessment is used in establishing priorities for entry to rapid re-housing opportunities, identifying gaps in service, and strengthening safety protocols. Because general emergency shelters are often engaging program participants that are escaping domestic violence, these agencies are encouraged to implement policies and practices that ensure the safety of persons fleeing, including coordination with law enforcement and family advocacy groups, and thoughtfully developing and sharing best practices regarding client confidentiality. DV service providers have worked with RRH and permanent housing providers in the CoC to assure that referrals are confidential and that specific housing needs are addressed.

**1C-4. DV Bonus Projects. Is your CoC No  
 applying for DV Bonus Projects?**

**1C-5. PHAs within CoC. Applicants must use the chart to provide information about each Public Housing Agency (PHA) in the CoC's geographic areas:**

- (1) Identify the percentage of new admissions to the Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Programs in the PHA who were experiencing homelessness at the time of admission;**
- (2) Indicate whether the PHA has a homeless admission preference in its Public Housing and/or HCV Program; and**
- (3) Indicate whether the CoC has a move on strategy. The information should be for Federal Fiscal Year 2017.**

| Public Housing Agency Name              | % New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program during FY 2017 who were experiencing homelessness at entry | PHA has General or Limited Homeless Preference | PHA has a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g. move on? |
|---|--|--|--|
| Housing Authority County of San Joaquin | 22.45%   | Yes-HCV  | Yes  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**If you select "Yes--Public Housing," "Yes--HCV," or "Yes--Both" for "PHA has general or limited homeless preference," you must attach documentation of the preference from the PHA in order to receive credit.**

**1C-5a. For each PHA where there is not a homeless admission preference in their written policy, applicants must identify the steps the CoC has taken to encourage the PHA to adopt such a policy. (limit 2,000 characters)**

N/A

**1C-5b. Move On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers. Does the CoC have a Move On strategy with affordable housing providers in its jurisdiction (e.g., multifamily assisted housing owners, PHAs, Low Income Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments, or local low-income housing programs)?** Yes

**Move On strategy description. (limit 2,000 characters)**

The CoC and permanent supportive housing project providers have long recognized that the use of Housing First principles and community support services significantly improve the lives of some of our most vulnerable project participants to the point that they no longer require intensive support services. At the same time, even though a project participant has demonstrated a substantial degree of recovery, continuing rent assistance is often necessary to maintain housing stability. Since enrollees in PSH projects cannot have their benefits terminated because they no longer require intensive support services, permanent supportive housing providers have worked with the Housing Authority of the County of San Joaquin (HACCSJ) to provide a Move-On strategy for identified project participants in order to make PSH project funds available to additional persons in need. As an element of its limited homeless preference, outlined in the current PHA Administrative Plan, HACCSJ has set aside a number of Housing Choice Vouchers for PSH project participants who self-identify as no longer needing PSH, and who voluntarily apply for Move-On housing support opportunities with HACCSJ. Project participants have demonstrated housing stability, self-identify as no longer requiring intensive support services, and are in a living situation where the owner/landlord is willing to accept a Housing Choice Voucher from HACCSJ. Although participants no longer requiring intensive support services cannot be mandated to make use of the Move-On strategy, it provides a voluntary option for participants able to achieve a higher level of self-sufficiency which is an essential strategy in the CoC's ability to maximize the availability of permanent supportive housing.

**1C-6. Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBT). Applicants must describe the actions the CoC has taken to**

**address the needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender individuals and their families experiencing homelessness. (limit 2,000 characters)**

The CoC’s written program standards prohibit discrimination by all participating agencies. HUD’s Final Rule on Equal Access was shared with all CoC and ESG sub-recipients upon publication. The San Joaquin Pride Center is a CoC participating member and provides awareness training to local service providers and community agencies, and provided input and guidance regarding the identification of LGBT individuals and households prior to the Point in Time Count. As part of the contract process, ESG recipients (San Joaquin County and City of Stockton) provide annual training on Equal Access and other requirements.

**1C-6a. Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training. Applicants must indicate if the CoC implemented a CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy and conducted CoC-wide anti-discrimination training on the Equal Access Final Rule and the Gender Identity Final Rule.**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 1. Did the CoC implement a CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy that applies to all projects regardless of funding source?   | Yes |
| 2. Did the CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)? | No  |
| 3. Did the CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs in Accordance with an Individual’s Gender Identity (Gender Identity Final Rule)?   | No  |

**1C-7. Criminalization of Homelessness. Applicants must select the specific strategies the CoC implemented to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in the CoC’s geographic area. Select all that apply.**

|  |                                     |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Engaged/educated local policymakers:         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Engaged/educated law enforcement:            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Engaged/educated local business leaders:     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Implemented communitywide plans:             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| No strategies have been implemented:         | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Other:(limit 50 characters)                  |                                     |
| CoC/Task Force work group on law and justice | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Homeless Court to provide diversion          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Restorative justice outreach to the homeless | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

**1C-8. Centralized or Coordinated Assessment System. Applicants must:**  
**(1) demonstrate the coordinated entry system covers the entire CoC geographic area;**  
**(2) demonstrate the coordinated entry system reaches people who are least likely to apply homelessness assistance in the absence of special outreach;**  
**(3) demonstrate the assessment process prioritizes people most in need of assistance and ensures they receive assistance in a timely manner; and**  
**(4) attach CoC's standard assessment tool.**  
**(limit 2,000 characters)**

The CoC utilizes a Coordinated Assessment tool (VI-SPDAT) that is a component of the HMIS for entry to permanent housing projects (both RRH and PSH). HMIS is used by all CoC and ESG funded projects, VA projects, PATH and other street outreach projects. Emergency shelters are located in Stockton, Lodi, Manteca, Tracy, and French Camp. As described in 4A-3, street outreach efforts extend throughout the entire CoC geographic area.

To assure CoC outreach efforts reach those least likely to otherwise apply for assistance, outreach workers are trained to recognize and work with persons with mental health and/or substance use issues, often have lived homeless experience, can communicate in languages other than English, are committed to multiple contacts with persons engaged, and can provide basic services such as food, hygiene items, clothing, blankets, mobile showers, etc. without barriers to access. Utilization of the HMIS by outreach teams for Coordinated Assessment means that those least likely to apply for assistance are provided opportunities to access permanent housing without artificial barriers. The CoC fosters collaboration among all service providers to successfully connect those least likely to seek out and apply for services to agencies engaged in ensuring homelessness is rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC.

The VI-SPDAT has been validated as an effective tool in identifying those persons most in need of assistance by measuring a number of vulnerability factors. RRH and PSH projects within the CoC are committed to providing assistance based on need and work to identify appropriate housing solutions as quickly as possible. That commitment includes using VI-SPDAT as the primary assessment tool. Through the use of a standard assessment tool, organizations participating in the CoC can prioritize need across multiple programs and service delivery systems by comparing like data.

## 1D. Continuum of Care (CoC) Discharge Planning

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this application, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**1D-1. Discharge Planning–State and Local. Applicants must indicate whether the CoC has a discharge policy to ensure persons discharged from the systems of care listed are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs. Check all that apply (note that when "None:" is selected no other system of care should be selected).**

|                          |                                     |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Foster Care:             | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Health Care:             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Mental Health Care:      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Correctional Facilities: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| None:                    | <input type="checkbox"/>            |

**1D-2. Discharge Planning Coordination. Applicants must indicate whether the CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs. Check all that apply (note that when "None:" is selected no other system of care should be selected).**

|                          |                                     |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Foster Care:             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Health Care:             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Mental Health Care:      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Correctional Facilities: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| None:                    | <input type="checkbox"/>            |

# 1E. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Review, Ranking, and Selection

## Instructions

For guidance on completing this application, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**1E-1. Project Ranking and Selection. Applicants must indicate whether the CoC used the following to rank and select project applications for the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition:**

- (1) objective criteria;**
- (2) at least one factor related to achieving positive housing outcomes;**
- (3) a specific method for evaluating projects submitted by victim services providers; and**
- (4) attach evidence that supports the process selected.**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Used Objective Criteria for Review, Rating, Ranking and Section                          | Yes |
| Included at least one factor related to achieving positive housing outcomes              | Yes |
| Included a specific method for evaluating projects submitted by victim service providers | Yes |

**1E-2. Severity of Needs and Vulnerabilities. Applicants must describe:**

- (1) the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities the CoC considered when reviewing, ranking, and rating projects; and**
- (2) how the CoC takes severity of needs and vulnerabilities into account during the review, rating, and ranking process.**

**(limit 2,000 characters)**

Projects that emphasize housing and support services for the most vulnerable households are prioritized for funding through the local CoC review and ranking process. All projects recommended for CoC funding utilize the VI-SPDAT and coordinated assessment to help identify the most vulnerable households. Projects that are given top ranks in the CoC review and ranking process must demonstrate that they prioritize service for households that score highest in the vulnerability index, indicating a severe need for housing and services. Projects ranked highest for funding by the CoC consistently demonstrate that they prioritize housing and support services for households exhibiting the most severe needs.

Characteristics that are typical of households with the most severe needs are: • chronic homelessness • disability of head of household, including mental health disabilities and long-term physical health issues • no or low income • escaping domestic violence.

Other characteristics that contribute to scores indicating severe needs are • the presence of minor children • history of substance use/abuse • significant length

of time homeless • significant length of time without employment or other income • multiple evictions or housing instability • past criminal history.

Projects that serve unique populations are also given special consideration in the CoC ranking process; for instance, projects serving the chronically homeless or transition-age youth.

Project applications are reviewed by the Application Committee to ensure project management's appropriate use of VI-SPDAT and coordinated assessment to determine households with most severe needs. Projects that serve special classes with highest needs, such as the chronically homeless, earn the maximum number of points in the ranking process, while those that serve those with "less severe" needs receive diminishing numbers of points based on the particular target subpopulation of those projects.

**1E-3. Public Postings. Applicants must indicate how the CoC made public:**

- (1) objective ranking and selection process the CoC used for all projects (new and renewal);**
- (2) CoC Consolidated Application—including the CoC Application, Priority Listings, and all projects accepted and ranked or rejected, which HUD required CoCs to post to their websites, or partners websites, at least 2 days before the CoC Program Competition application submission deadline; and**
- (3) attach documentation demonstrating the objective ranking, rating, and selections process and the final version of the completed CoC Consolidated Application, including the CoC Application with attachments, Priority Listing with reallocation forms and all project applications that were accepted and ranked, or rejected (new and renewal) was made publicly available, that legibly displays the date the CoC publicly posted the documents.**

| Public Posting of Objective Ranking and Selection Process |                          | Public Posting of CoC Consolidated Application including: CoC Application, Priority Listings, Project Listings |                          |
|---|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| CoC or other Website                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> | CoC or other Website   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Email   | <input type="checkbox"/> | Email  | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Mail  | <input type="checkbox"/> | Mail   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Advertising in Local Newspaper(s)                         | <input type="checkbox"/> | Advertising in Local Newspaper(s)  | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Advertising on Radio or Television                        | <input type="checkbox"/> | Advertising on Radio or Television   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Social Media (Twitter, Facebook, etc.)                    | <input type="checkbox"/> | Social Media (Twitter, Facebook, etc.)   | <input type="checkbox"/> |

**1E-4. Reallocation. Applicants must indicate whether the CoC has cumulatively reallocated at least 20 percent of the CoC's ARD between the FY 2014 and FY 2018 CoC Program Competitions.**

**Reallocation:** No

**1E-4a. If the answer is “No” to question 1E-4, applicants must describe how the CoC actively reviews performance of existing CoC Program-funded projects to determine the viability of reallocating to create new high performing projects. (limit 2,000 characters)**

1.All CoC funded projects are reviewed for performance at least quarterly according to communitywide performance standards, including numbers of households served, client destinations upon exit, client total income and income sources upon exit, client recidivism rates, project expenditure rates, and the project’s ability to effectively allocate assigned resources. Projects with indications of performance below expected standards are provided technical assistance designed to improve performance and client outcomes. Projects that are unable to meet expected standards or improve outcomes within a set period of time are designated as “low performing” and become subject to reallocation to new projects or existing, higher performing projects. The CoC also conducts frequent gaps analysis to determine the underlying need for all CoC funded projects. When a project is determined to no longer fulfill a critical need, reallocation would occur to new or existing projects that perform at acceptable standards and meet a more critical need. Reallocation is also considered if a new project is proposed that would meet a more critical need or serve a currently unserved subpopulation.

2.The CoC has worked with HUD through the contract revision process, rather than the formal reallocation process, to transform a number of transition-in-place projects to rapid re-housing projects that target an identified critical need in the community for permanent housing for homeless households with children. Using an analysis of community needs and contract revision, the CoC has “reallocated” 29 percent of the total ARD in the time period specified in order to meet a critical need.

**1E-5. Local CoC Competition. Applicants must indicate whether the CoC:**  
**(1) established a deadline for project applications that was no later than 30 days before the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition Application deadline—attachment required;**  
**(2) rejected or reduced project application(s)—attachment required; and**  
**(3) notify applicants that their project application(s) were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps, at least 15 days before FY 2018 CoC Program Competition Application deadline—attachment required. :**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| (1) Did the CoC establish a deadline for project applications that was no later than 30 days before the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition Application deadline? Attachment required.  | Yes |
| (2) If the CoC rejected or reduced project application(s), did the CoC notify applicants that their project application(s) were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps, at least 15 days before FY 2018 CoC Program Competition Application deadline? Attachment required. | Yes |
| (3) Did the CoC notify applicants that their applications were accepted and ranked on the Priority Listing in writing outside of e-snaps, at least 15 before days of the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition Application deadline?  | Yes |



## **2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation**

**Intructions:**

For guidance on completing this application, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**2A-1. Roles and Responsibilities of the CoC and HMIS Lead. Does your CoC have in place a Governance Charter or other written documentation (e.g., MOU/MOA) that outlines the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and HMIS Lead? Attachment Required.** Yes

**2A-1a. Applicants must:** Governance Charter pages 3 & 6  
**(1) provide the page number(s) where the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and HMIS Lead can be found in the attached document(s) referenced in 2A-1, and**  
**(2) indicate the document type attached for question 2A-1 that includes roles and responsibilities of the CoC and HMIS Lead (e.g., Governance Charter, MOU/MOA).**

**2A-2. HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual. Does your CoC have a HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual? Attachment Required.** Yes

**2A-3. HMIS Vender. What is the name of the HMIS software vendor?** Bitfocus (Clarity)

**2A-4. HMIS Implementation Coverage Area. Using the drop-down boxes, applicants must select the HMIS implementation Coverage area.** Single CoC

**2A-5. Bed Coverage Rate. Using 2018 HIC and HMIS data, applicants must report by project type:**  
**(1) total number of beds in 2018 HIC;**  
**(2) total beds dedicated for DV in the 2018 HIC; and**

**(3) total number of beds in HMIS.**

| Project Type                            | Total Beds in 2018 HIC | Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV | Total Beds in HMIS | HMIS Bed Coverage Rate |
|---|------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Emergency Shelter (ES) beds             | 904                    | 62                                 | 842                | 100.00%                |
| Safe Haven (SH) beds                    | 0                      | 0                                  | 0                  |                        |
| Transitional Housing (TH) beds          | 313                    | 0                                  | 235                | 75.08%                 |
| Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds             | 358                    | 0                                  | 358                | 100.00%                |
| Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds | 718                    | 0                                  | 718                | 100.00%                |
| Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds      | 0                      | 0                                  | 0                  |                        |

**2A-5a. To receive partial credit, if the bed coverage rate is 84.99 percent or lower for any of the project types in question 2A-5., applicants must provide clear steps on how the CoC intends to increase this percentage for each project type over the next 12 months. (limit 2,000 characters)**

1. The bed coverage rate for all CoC and ESG funded projects is 100% across all project types. Although the bed coverage rate for transitional projects is consistently at 100%, this is not reflected in HMIS reporting because there is a single TH project (consisting of 24 units with 66 beds) utilizing private funding that does not require HMIS participation; to date this organization has chosen not to participate in HMIS or with the CoC. Over the next 12 months, the CoC will take steps to expand outreach efforts to executive leadership at the organization to facilitate dialogue regarding CoC and HMIS participation, offer technical assistance to establish and manage participation in the HMIS, determine challenges and barriers to the organization in engaging with the CoC and/or participating in the HMIS, and develop connections between the Board of Directors of both the CoC and the non-participating organization to develop solutions to those challenges and barriers. Additionally, the CoC will contact the private funding source to develop strategies to incentivize the organization to participate in the CoC that include the possibility of connecting funding sources to the organization that require HMIS participation

2. On April 2, 2018, San Joaquin County hired a Program Administrator for Homeless Initiatives to expand the administrative and evaluation capacity of the Collaborative Applicant, which is itself a department of the County that has provided this service to the CoC for many years, to develop and coordinate implementation of a housing and service system to prevent and end homelessness in our region. Part of that responsibility includes encouraging and facilitating a broader participation in the CoC. Utilizing CoC Planning Grant funds and working in conjunction with the CoC Board of Directors and relevant standing committees, this position will assume responsibility to implementing the steps described in 1. above.

**2A-6. AHAR Shells Submission: How many 12  
 2017 Annual Housing Assessment Report  
 (AHAR) tables shells did HUD accept?**

**2A-7. CoC Data Submission in HDX. 04/13/2018**

**Applicants must enter the date the CoC submitted the 2018 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data into the Homelessness Data Exchange (HDX).  
(mm/dd/yyyy)**

## 2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time Count

### Instructions:

For guidance on completing this application, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**2B-1. PIT Count Date. Applicants must enter the date the CoC conducted its 2018 PIT count (mm/dd/yyyy).** 01/24/2018

**2B-2. HDX Submission Date. Applicants must enter the date the CoC submitted its PIT count data in HDX (mm/dd/yyyy).** 04/13/2018

## 2C. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methodologies

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this application, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**2C-1. Change in Sheltered PIT Count Implementation. Applicants must describe any change in the CoC’s sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology and data quality changes from 2017 to 2018. Specifically, how those changes impacted the CoC’s sheltered PIT count results.  
 (limit 2,000 characters)**

Not Applicable

**2C-2. Did your CoC change its provider coverage in the 2018 sheltered count?** No

**2C-2a. If “Yes” was selected in 2C-2, applicants must enter the number of beds that were added or removed in the 2018 sheltered PIT count.**

|               |   |
|---------------|---|
| Beds Added:   | 0 |
| Beds Removed: | 0 |
| Total:        | 0 |

**2C-3. Presidentially Declared Disaster Changes to Sheltered PIT Count. Did your CoC add or remove emergency shelter, transitional housing, or Safe Haven inventory because of funding specific to a Presidentially declared disaster, resulting in a change to the CoC’s 2018 sheltered PIT count?** No

**2C-3a. If “Yes” was selected for question 2C-3, applicants must enter the number of beds that were added or removed in 2018 because of a Presidentially declared disaster.**

|               |   |
|---------------|---|
| Beds Added:   | 0 |
| Beds Removed: | 0 |
| Total:        | 0 |

**2C-4. Changes in Unsheltered PIT Count Implementation. Did your CoC change its unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology and data quality changes from 2017 to 2018? If your CoC did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2018, select Not Applicable.** Not Applicable

**2C-5. Identifying Youth Experiencing Homelessness in 2018 PIT Count. Did your CoC implement specific measures to identify youth experiencing homelessness in its 2018 PIT count?** Yes

**2C-5a. If “Yes” was selected for question 2C-5., applicants must describe: (1) how stakeholders serving youth experiencing homelessness were engaged during the planning process; (2) how the CoC worked with stakeholders to select locations where youth experiencing homelessness are most likely to be identified; and (3) how the CoC involved youth experiencing homelessness in counting during the 2018 PIT count. (limit 2,000 characters)**

1. The CoC’s 2018 PIT Count was limited to the sheltered homeless population. The San Joaquin CoC is supported by all providers of emergency shelter and transitional housing services to youth experiencing homelessness and all have participated in HMIS for a number of years. The HMIS Lead Agency works with all HMIS participating agencies serving youth to ensure that data quality standards are met and that all relevant information is being properly collected and entered into the system. Stakeholders serving youth experiencing homelessness include Lutheran Social Services, Women’s Center-Youth & Family Services, Stockton Shelter for the Homeless, Gospel Center Rescue Mission, Haven of Peace, McHenry House, Hope Ministries, and Salvation Army of Lodi. As the HMIS Lead Agency, Central Valley Housing worked with executive and staff-level personnel at each organization to confirm that all youth were being properly and accurately included in the 2018 PIT Count. Feedback received from these “front-line” individuals working at diverse organizations was essential to the successful planning and execution of the 2018 PIT Count.
2. As a sheltered-only count, locations identified were those emergency shelter and transitional projects that provide temporary housing. These organizations work together on an ongoing basis to develop best practices around data collection which makes identifying locations where youth are experiencing homelessness a more streamlined and efficient process.
3. Youth experiencing homelessness were engaged in the 2018 shelter-only PIT by CoC shelter and transitional housing projects serving them. Organizations serving youth experiencing homelessness are committed to engaging this population in their specific service delivery systems, and every organization cited above involves this population in their data collection and recording process to varying degrees and partners with the CoC to provide this information to the HMIS Lead Agency.

**2C-6. 2018 PIT Implementation. Applicants must describe actions the CoC implemented in its 2018 PIT count to better count:  
(1) individuals and families experiencing chronic homelessness;  
(2) families with children experiencing homelessness; and  
(3) Veterans experiencing homelessness.  
(limit 2,000 characters)**

The 2018 PIT was limited to a count of sheltered person experiencing homelessness. The primary source for the 2018 sheltered count was HMIS. All emergency shelter beds are covered by HMIS and there is 75% coverage of transitional beds in HMIS. To improve the results of the count, data quality results for each entity were reviewed every other week for several months prior to the count and HMIS users were notified of missing or conflicting data for correction on a regular basis through the count date. DV providers used comparable data bases to provide aggregate information which was included in the PIT. Transitional projects not participating in HMIS were provided with surveys to capture necessary information; all responded.

## 3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) System Performance

### Instructions

For guidance on completing this application, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**3A-1. First Time Homeless as Reported in HDX. In the box below, applicants must report the number of first-time homeless as reported in HDX.**

|   |       |
|---|-------|
| Number of First Time Homeless as Reported in HDX. | 6,799 |
|---|-------|

### 3A-1a. Applicants must:

- (1) describe how the CoC determined which risk factors the CoC uses to identify persons becoming homeless for the first time;**
- (2) describe the CoC’s strategy to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and**
- (3) provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time. (limit 2,000 characters)**

1. Identification of risk factors came through a CoC analysis of persons who became homeless for the first time based on data from the HMIS, PiT Counts, and interviews with households that presented as homeless through outreach and emergency shelters. Data examined included age, gender, household size, prior living situations, length of time in prior situations, prior housing history such as evictions, sources of income and non-cash benefits, amount of income, disability, substance use behaviors, domestic violence, and other factors.

2. The CoC strategy to address at-risk households includes providing homeless prevention rental assistance to eligible households and diverting households from shelters to these and mainstream resources in the short-term, and leveraging resources to create more affordable housing options in the long-term. Coordinated Entry, the 2-1-1 system, and shelter diversion quickly refer households to ESG and Human Service Agency homeless prevention programs; emergency shelters divert households to mainstream resources including the HSA and Housing Authority. Other resources that contribute to increased income, such as child care and employment assistance programs, are also included in diversion and early intervention. The CoC collaborates with property managers, landlords, and local governments to increase the number of units available to households at risk of homelessness. The CoC works with the Housing Authority, nonprofit developers, and for-profit developers to increase the number of affordable units via rehabilitation and construction projects.

3. The CoC’s Strategic Planning Committee is the primary entity responsible for oversight of strategies to reduce or end the number of households experiencing homelessness for the first time. The Committee includes staff from and works with homeless service providers and mainstream programs to implement effective approaches make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring.



**3A-2. Length-of-Time Homeless as Reported in HDX. Applicants must:**  
**(1) provide the average length of time individuals and persons in families remained homeless (i.e., the number);**  
**(2) describe the CoC’s strategy to reduce the length-of-time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;**  
**(3) describe how the CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and**  
**(4) provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.**  
**(limit 2,000 characters)**

1. In the last submitted System Performance report, the average length of time individuals and families are homeless was 41 days based on HMIS entries and 99 days when including data from reported prior living situation; these figures represent reductions of 21 and 19 days respectively.

2. To reduce the length of time households remain homeless, the CoC has transformed transitional housing projects to tenant based RRH projects and it utilized all available resources to provide homeless prevention assistance. Homeless prevention and RRH projects provide assistance to both individuals and households with children. The CoC continues to do outreach to landlords throughout the CoC geographic area to identify possible housing possibilities for RRH participants; as part of that outreach, an incentive program has been implemented to assist in dealing with 2% or lower vacancy rate in the rental market. Project staff in CoC projects also act as possible housing locators through interaction with landlords known to accept project participants and with landlords identified by possible program participants as being interested.

3. The CoC uses information entered in HMIS to identify those households with the longest lengths of time experiencing homelessness, and combined with Coordinated Assessment, prioritizes those households for enrollment in permanent housing projects, both RRH and PSH (if eligible). RRH and PSH providers use Housing First principles to connect those demonstrating the greatest need with suitable permanent housing.

4. The CoC’s System Performance and Evaluation Committee is primary entity responsible for oversight of strategies to reduce the length of time people experience homelessness. The Committee works with emergency shelter providers, homeless prevention efforts, and RRH projects to refine goals and objectives and implement effective approaches to this end.

**3A-3. Successful Permanent Housing Placement and Retention as Reported in HDX. Applicants must:**  
**(1) provide the percentage of individuals and persons in families in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing that exit to permanent housing destinations; and**  
**(2) provide the percentage of individuals and persons in families in permanent housing projects, other than rapid rehousing, that retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations.**

|            |
|------------|
| Percentage |
|------------|

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Report the percentage of individuals and persons in families in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid re-housing that exit to permanent housing destinations as reported in HDX.                 | 14% |
| Report the percentage of individuals and persons in families in permanent housing projects, other than rapid re-housing, that retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations as reported in HDX. | 95% |

**3A-3a. Applicants must:**

**(1) describe the CoC’s strategy to increase the rate at which individuals and persons in families in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations; and (2) describe the CoC’s strategy to increase the rate at which individuals and persons in families in permanent housing projects, other than rapid rehousing, retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations.**

**(limit 2,000 characters)**

The rate of individuals and families in emergency shelters, transitional housing and rapid re-housing being able to move to permanent destinations is reliant on the availability of housing in the community and the availability of rent support for very low income households. The CoC is developing collaborations between public and private partners to prioritize housing projects for persons exiting homelessness through new and expanded sources of program funding such as HEAP, CESH, NPLH and VHHP and expects that these relationships will result additional affordable housing destinations for the homeless. The CoC is using available resources for rapid re-housing projects providing rent assistance as households are stabilized and access other resources. A partnership with HACSJ is making Housing Choice vouchers available to a limited number of sheltered households. The agency managing RRH projects has implemented an incentive program to encourage landlords to make units available to those exiting homelessness.

Exits to permanent housing/retention of permanent housing by PSH projects is currently at 95% which has been deemed satisfactory by the CoC. Based on the variety of destinations reported by the 5% leaving for non-permanent destinations, the primary strategy identified it to identify and make available additional support service interventions that target behavioral issues among project participants while they are in permanent housing.

The CoC Data and HMIS Committee is responsible for overseeing and developing strategies to improve the rate of exit to permanent housing among individuals and families in emergency shelters, transitional housing and rapid re-housing projects. The Data and HMIS Committee collaborates with the System Performance and Evaluation Committee in this effort.

The CoC’s Data and HMIS Committee, in conjunction with the System Performance and Evaluation Committee, work with providers to maintain current rates of exit and retention.

**3A-4. Returns to Homelessness as Reported in HDX. Applicants must report the percentage of individuals and persons in families returning to homelessness over a 6- and 12-month period as reported in HDX.**

|            |
|------------|
| Percentage |
|------------|

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Report the percentage of individuals and persons in families returning to homelessness over a 6- and 12-month period as reported in HDX | 5% |
|---|----|

**3A-4a. Applicants must:**

- (1) describe how the CoC identifies common factors of individuals and persons in families who return to homelessness;**
  - (2) describe the CoC’s strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and**
  - (3) provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families returns to homelessness.**
- (limit 2,000 characters)**

1. The CoC uses data gathered through Coordinated Assessment, HMIS, and client interviews to identify common factors among individuals and families returning to homelessness in the 6-12 month period after experiencing homelessness. Findings from the data show that those persons with multiple issues, such as active substance use disorders, unresolved mental health issues, past histories of incarceration or involvement with foster care, lack of basic income support and/or work experience, and low educational levels, are likely candidates to return to homelessness within the 6-12 month period after initially experiencing homelessness.

2. The three primary strategies employed by the CoC to reduce the rate of returns to homelessness are to identify those households with a high likelihood of return when first in a homeless condition and link them to continuing support services as they end their homeless experience; using homeless prevention resources to divert households from returning to homelessness; and, when households do return to homelessness within the target period, identify through assessment the necessary community resources that can provide support for the returning household.

3. The CoC’s Coordinated Entry System Committee and the System Performance and Evaluation Committee work in conjunction to provide oversight to existing strategies, examine data as it changes, and work with service providers to develop and implement alternative prevention methods. The involvement of staff from CoC and ESG projects with the CoC oversight committees is essential to the provision of effective services that reduce the rate of all households returning to homelessness and is critical in the development of strategies rooted in lived experience which has been determined by the CoC to yield the most success.

**3A-5. Job and Income Growth. Applicants must:**

- (1) describe the CoC’s strategy to increase access to employment and non-employment cash sources;**
  - (2) describe how the CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families increase their cash income; and**
  - (3) provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy to increase job and income growth from employment.**
- (limit 2,000 characters)**

On a community wide basis, the CoC seeks to work with mainstream resources to create new training and employment opportunities for persons experiencing homelessness. On the project level participants may receive assistance in developing resumes, job search information, accessing suitable workplace clothing and supplies, transportation assistance, help arranging and covering child care costs, provision of mailing addresses, and improving skills related to job interviews. A key element to the CoC's strategy at the project level to increase access to employment and non-employment cash sources is through active case management centered on the individual needs of each person presenting for services.

The involvement of the local business community in many aspects of the work of the CoC has increased significantly over the last several years in response to the needs of sheltered and unsheltered homeless has increased. Organizations representing the interests of the business community (Building Industry Association, Business Council of San Joaquin County, Chambers of Commerce) are active CoC participants and have explored avenues of increasing employment opportunities for persons experiencing homelessness. Publically funded workforce development programs such as San Joaquin County WorkNet, Cal-Works, and Employment Development accept all referrals service provider organizations and provide expert employment assessment to connect adults with appropriate mainstream employment and job training services. Non-profit corporations such as Goodwill Industries and Ready to Work provide job training and employment-based services to help homeless and formerly homeless adults increase employment income.

The CoC's Board of Directors and Strategic Planning Committee work to provide oversight to existing strategies, examine data as it changes, and work with both mainstream resources and service providers to increase job and income growth from employment.

**3A-6. System Performance Measures Data** 05/22/2018  
**Submission in HDX. Applicants must enter**  
**the date the CoC submitted the System**  
**Performance Measures data in HDX, which**  
**included the data quality section for FY 2017**  
**(mm/dd/yyyy)**

## 3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

### Instructions

For guidance on completing this application, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

- 3B-1. DedicatedPLUS and Chronically Homeless Beds. In the boxes below, applicants must enter:**
- (1) total number of beds in the Project Application(s) that are designated as DedicatedPLUS beds; and**
  - (2) total number of beds in the Project Application(s) that are designated for the chronically homeless, which does not include those that were identified in (1) above as DedicatedPLUS Beds.**

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| Total number of beds dedicated as DedicatedPLUS  | 202        |
| Total number of beds dedicated to individuals and families experiencing chronic homelessness | 198        |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>400</b> |

**3B-2. Orders of Priority. Did the CoC adopt the Orders of Priority into their written standards for all CoC Program-funded PSH projects as described in Notice CPD-16-11: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness and Other Vulnerable Homeless Persons in Permanent Supportive Housing? Attachment Required.** Yes

**3B-2.1. Prioritizing Households with Children. Using the following chart, applicants must check all that apply to indicate the factor(s) the CoC currently uses to prioritize households with children during FY 2018.**

|  |                                     |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| History of or Vulnerability to Victimization (e.g. domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Number of previous homeless episodes   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unsheltered homelessness   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Criminal History   | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Bad credit or rental history   | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Head of Household with Mental/Physical Disability  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

**3B-2.2. Applicants must:**

- (1) describe the CoC’s current strategy to rapidly rehouse every household of families with children within 30 days of becoming homeless;**
- (2) describe how the CoC addresses both housing and service needs to ensure families successfully maintain their housing once assistance ends; and**
- (3) provide the organization name or position title responsible for overseeing the CoCs strategy to rapidly rehouse families with children within 30 days of becoming homeless.**  
**(limit 2,000 characters)**

1. The CoC Coordinated Assessment calls for all families to be assessed within 7 days of entry, and for referrals to be made to appropriate housing services based on the assessment. The CoC has shifted resources from TH projects to RRH projects to facilitate movement to permanent housing. CoC resources are coordinated with ESG RRH efforts to maximize the number of households assisted. RRH projects also conduct direct outreach to ES providers and in conjunction with street outreach teams. Standardized assessment tools identify households with the greatest need and time spent homeless. The current major obstacle to rapidly rehouse families within children is the lack of availability of affordable housing units; general vacancies rate for rentals is under two percent. To address the issue, the primary RRH provider for families has implemented a financial incentive program to attract landlords that works in conjunction with a mitigation program funded by the City of Stockton.

2. While household are enrolled in RRH projects, participants are offered assistance with budgeting skills, linkages to other mainstream programs, support in finding and maintaining employment, and assistance with managing child care needs through project staff and partnerships with other CoC agencies. The primary objective is to prepare participants to be able to manage and sustain self-sufficiency when assistance ends. Case management support is available to household for six months after financial assistance ends to help sustain self-sufficiency.

3. Central Valley Housing is the sole CoC RRH provider and is committed to Housing First principles to reduce barriers to entry and assistance. The single RRH/ PH provider utilizes a network of over 150 landlords to identify scattered site properties as possible housing locations. The current median length of stay in ES for households with children is approximately 30 days.

**3B-2.3. Antidiscrimination Policies. Applicants must check all that apply that describe actions the CoC is taking to ensure providers (including emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent supportive housing (PSH and RRH) within the CoC adhere to antidiscrimination policies by not denying admission to or separating any family members from other members of their family or caregivers based on age, sex, gender, LGBT status, marital status, or disability when entering a shelter or housing.**

|   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| CoC conducts mandatory training for all CoC and ESG funded service providers on these topics.             | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CoC conducts optional training for all CoC and ESG funded service providers on these topics.              | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CoC has worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients. | <input type="checkbox"/> |

|   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| CoC has worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC and ESG funded facilities within the CoC geographic area that may be out of compliance, and taken steps to work directly with those facilities to come into compliance. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CoC has sought assistance from HUD through submitting AAQs or requesting TA to resolve non-compliance of service providers.   | <input type="checkbox"/> |

**3B-2.4. Strategy for Addressing Needs of Unaccompanied Youth Experiencing Homelessness. Applicants must indicate whether the CoC's strategy to address the unique needs of unaccompanied homeless youth includes the following:**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Human trafficking and other forms of exploitation   | Yes |
| LGBT youth homelessness   | Yes |
| Exits from foster care into homelessness  | Yes |
| Family reunification and community engagement   | Yes |
| Positive Youth Development, Trauma Informed Care, and the use of Risk and Protective Factors in assessing youth housing and service needs | Yes |

**3B-2.5. Prioritizing Unaccompanied Youth Experiencing Homelessness Based on Needs. Applicants must check all that apply from the list below that describes the CoC's current strategy to prioritize unaccompanied youth based on their needs.**

|  |                                     |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| History or Vulnerability to Victimization (e.g., domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Number of Previous Homeless Episodes   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unsheltered Homelessness   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Criminal History   | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Bad Credit or Rental History   | <input type="checkbox"/>            |

**3B-2.6. Applicants must describe the CoC's strategy to increase:**  
**(1) housing and services for all youth experiencing homelessness by providing new resources or more effectively using existing resources, including securing additional funding; and**  
**(2) availability of housing and services for youth experiencing unsheltered homelessness by providing new resources or more effectively using existing resources.**  
**(limit 3,000 characters)**

Over the past several years, the CoC has leveraged funding from ESG, RHY, and the California Department of Justice to address housing and service needs of homeless youth. Currently the CoC has a 10 bed shelter for unaccompanied youth under 18 and an 8 bed transitional housing project for youth between 18 and 24. Slightly over eight percent of the population in emergency shelters not designated solely for youth are between 18 and 24. For 12 years, the CoC has allocated resources to operate a 25 unit permanent supportive housing project for qualified youth. In the 2017 PIT, unsheltered youth accounted for nearly 7% of the total unsheltered count, including those between the ages of 18-24.

Local organizations that have traditionally been focused on serving youth experiencing homelessness increased participation in the CoC to expand collaboration with other public and private agencies engaged in the provision of support services with the goal to increase accessibility to housing and services needed by all youth experiencing homelessness.

In the past two years, additional resources have been allocated for specific street outreach efforts designed to identify and engage unsheltered youth. In the past year, this outreach effort has made contact with over 100 youth experiencing homelessness who are unsheltered.

California's new Homeless Emergency Aid Program allocates over \$7.1M in new resources to the area including at least 5% to be set-aside to meet housing and service needs of youth who are homeless or at risk of homelessness. The CoC has further prioritized the use of these funds for the unsheltered homeless, and anticipates new projects that contribute to the CoC's strategy to increase the availability of housing and services for youth experiencing unsheltered homelessness.

Actions have been taken to prepare and submit a Youth Homelessness Demonstration Program application for FY 2019 by the staff from Women's Center – Youth and Family Services and the Children's Home of Stockton, in conjunction with the CoC Strategic Planning Committee. The objective is to address specific housing needs of youth through rapid rehousing, permanent supportive housing, and transitional housing.

On a general level, to increase community awareness of the issue of youth experiencing homelessness, planning is underway for the CoC to host HUD Region IX's First Annual Homeless Summit on Youth and Rural Homelessness, which is made possible through a direct collaboration between staff from HUD Region IX and USICH, and elected officials from San Joaquin County and the City of Stockton. The potential to bring new resources to the CoC to increase housing and services for youth experiencing homelessness by bringing this Summit to the CoC has already generated significant interest amongst key stakeholders and local philanthropists. The CoC intends to leverage this unique and exciting opportunity to the fullest extent possible.

**3B-2.6a. Applicants must:**

- (1) provide evidence the CoC uses to measure both strategies in question 3B-2.6. to increase the availability of housing and services for youth experiencing homelessness;**
  - (2) describe the measure(s) the CoC uses to calculate the effectiveness of the strategies; and**
  - (3) describe why the CoC believes the measure it uses is an appropriate way to determine the effectiveness of the CoC's strategies.**
- (limit 3,000 characters)**

Based on data from the CoC's HMIS, the number of youth under 18 seeking emergency shelter has remained relatively constant for the past three years (approximately 100 youth per year) and the shelter for youth under 18 has had sufficient space to accommodate all those seeking assistance (average utilization is 40%). In the past two PIT, no unsheltered, unaccompanied youth have been encountered despite robust outreach efforts. Within the CoC



geographic area, 34% of the total population is between 18 and 24; both the sheltered and unsheltered percentages are only 8% of the total homeless population. Youth benefiting from rapid re-housing has increased to 6% of all assistance and youth 18 to 24 now comprise 8% of those with permanent supportive housing assistance.

To evaluate effectiveness of strategies employed to address youth experiencing homelessness, the CoC has looked at numbers and percentages of youth in different categories of homelessness, housing and services available to the specific needs of youth at different ages, and whether or not additional resources have had the desired impact.

A comparison of year-to-year rates of change for youth accessing emergency shelter in the CoC, the numbers of unsheltered homeless, and those receiving permanent housing assistance provide concrete measures of effectiveness and provide information the CoC needs as it allocates additional resources to eliminate youth homelessness.

**3B-2.7. Collaboration–Education Services. Applicants must describe how the CoC collaborates with:**

- (1) youth education providers;**
  - (2) McKinney-Vento State Education Agency (SEA) and Local Education Agency (LEA);**
  - (3) school districts; and**
  - (4) the formal partnerships with (1) through (3) above.**
- (limit 2,000 characters)**

Local Education Agencies and the CoC collaborate on a number of levels to assure children are properly served. LEA’s regularly identify students (and their families) who may meet the definition of being eligible for assistance while all CoC and ESG funded projects are, as a matter of policy, required to inform households with children of the availability of services through the McKinney-Vento Act. CoC representatives regularly attend and participate in the quarterly meetings held by local LEA and individual school districts, and the San Joaquin County Office of Education participates in CoC meetings. Project staff work with local education/homelessness liaisons to ensure systems and communication channels are in place to rapidly connect the families of students experiencing homelessness or who are at the risk of homelessness with housing stabilization resources. Local education/homelessness liaisons are able to directly contact CoC- and ESG funded programs that participate in the coordinated entry process so affected students and families are connected with appropriate emergency, preventative, and remedial services. Local education/homelessness liaisons meet on a regular basis provide input for CoC program and initiative planning purposes.

These formal partnerships have fostered positive and collaborative working relationships over the years, with education providers and homeless service providers sharing the commitment of making sure that all children have access to quality educational experiences. Regardless of source children are provided enrollment assistance, case management and advocacy, assistance with school supplies, transportation as feasible, assistance with and referral to student services (counseling, special education, pre-school, etc.), and referral to community agencies.

**3B-2.7a. Applicants must describe the policies and procedures the CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for education services. (limit 2,000 characters)**

As part of the written standards adopted by the CoC for all programs, both CoC and ESG funded, all programs serving households with children are required to coordinate with mainstream programs related to youth and educational opportunities. Each project is required to identify at least one staff person as the designated educational liaison that will ensure that children are enrolled in school and connected to appropriate services in the community, including early childhood program such as Head Start, Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and the McKinney-Vento education services.

Staff of CoC and ESG funded programs work to ensure program participants of school age are enrolled in schools and are attending on a regular basis. School attendance is considered a priority for families with children and unaccompanied youth who are assisted by CoC programs and is normally incorporated into self-sufficiency plans. Rapid Re-housing and homelessness prevention programs also place emphasis on ensuring school-age children are enrolled in school. Both CoC and ESG housing programs help families locate housing that accommodates the educational needs of children in the households. ESG-funded emergency shelter providers inform each family of school-age children that they are expected to continue attending school and provide information regarding resources to help them continue to attend school during their stay in an emergency shelter.

**3B-2.8. Does the CoC have written formal agreements, MOU/MOAs or partnerships with one or more providers of early childhood services and supports? Select “Yes” or “No”. Applicants must select “Yes” or “No”, from the list below, if the CoC has written formal agreements, MOU/MOA’s or partnerships with providers of early childhood services and support.**

|                                 | MOU/MOA | Other Formal Agreement |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------------------|
| Early Childhood Providers       | No      | No                     |
| Head Start                      | Yes     | No                     |
| Early Head Start                | No      | No                     |
| Child Care and Development Fund | No      | No                     |
| Federal Home Visiting Program   | No      | No                     |
| Healthy Start                   | No      | No                     |
| Public Pre-K                    | No      | No                     |
| Birth to 3 years                | No      | No                     |
| Tribal Home Visting Program     | No      | No                     |
| Other: (limit 50 characters)    |         |                        |
|                                 |         |                        |
|                                 |         |                        |

**3B-3.1. Veterans Experiencing Homelessness. Applicants must describe**

**the actions the CoC has taken to identify, assess, and refer Veterans experiencing homelessness, who are eligible for U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) housing and services, to appropriate resources such as HUD-VASH, Supportive Services for Veterans Families (SSVF) program and Grant and Per Diem (GPD). (limit 2,000 characters)**

Within the confines of the CoC geographic boundaries, there are 24 emergency shelter and outreach projects, including two SSVF projects and Whole Person Care, all of which enter client level data in HMIS. In addition, six transitional projects collect and enter client data in HMIS. All projects entering data in HMIS identify the veteran status of persons engaged. In the past 12 months, a total of 7806 persons (adults and children) were engaged; 304 were identified as veterans. The Veterans By Name list is immediately available to all HMIS end users at any time.

By using the Veterans By Name List, agencies providing services to veterans can begin to assess which veterans might be eligible (the list identifies type of living situation and possibility of chronic homelessness for example) for which projects and their last known location. Further assessment is accomplished through the Coordinated Assessment component of HMIS and, in some cases, by either SSVF or Veterans Affairs based on discharge status and other criteria to determine eligibility for specific projects or assistance programs.

The assessment process allows for direct referrals to SSVF, although the SSVF projects also conducts their own outreach to identified veterans. The San Joaquin County Office of Veterans Affairs makes direct referrals of veterans to both SSVF and HUD-VASH. The Housing Authority advertises and hosts weekly sessions where VA representatives can make direct contact with veterans regarding HUD-VASH benefits. SSVF projects provide RRH assistance and make referrals to the HUD-VASH program through VA representatives.

**3B-3.2. Does the CoC use an active list or by name list to identify all Veterans experiencing homelessness in the CoC?** Yes

**3B-3.3. Is the CoC actively working with the VA and VA-funded programs to achieve the benchmarks and criteria for ending Veteran homelessness?** Yes

**3B-3.4. Does the CoC have sufficient resources to ensure each Veteran experiencing homelessness is assisted to quickly move into permanent housing using a Housing First approach?** No

**3B-5. Racial Disparity. Applicants must: (1) indicate whether the CoC assessed whether there are racial disparities in the provision or outcome of homeless** Yes

**assistance;  
(2) if the CoC conducted an assessment,  
attach a copy of the summary.**

**3B-5a. Applicants must select from the options below the results of the CoC's assessment.**

|  |                                     |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| People of different races or ethnicities are more or less likely to receive homeless assistance.                         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| People of different races or ethnicities are more or less likely to receive a positive outcome from homeless assistance. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| There are no racial disparities in the provision or outcome of homeless assistance.                                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| The results are inconclusive for racial disparities in the provision or outcome of homeless assistance.                  | <input type="checkbox"/>            |

**3B-5b. Applicants must select from the options below the strategies the CoC is using to address any racial disparities.**

|   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.  | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.  | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.  | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The CoC has staff, committees or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.  | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national non-profit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.  | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other:  | <input type="checkbox"/> |

## 4A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Accessing Mainstream Benefits and Additional Policies

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this application, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

- 4A-1. Healthcare. Applicants must indicate, for each type of healthcare listed below, whether the CoC:**
- (1) assists persons experiencing homelessness with enrolling in health insurance; and**
  - (2) assists persons experiencing homelessness with effectively utilizing Medicaid and other benefits.**

| Type of Health Care  | Assist with Enrollment | Assist with Utilization of Benefits? |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Public Health Care Benefits<br>(State or Federal benefits, Medicaid, Indian Health Services) | Yes                    | Yes                                  |
| Private Insurers:  | No                     | No                                   |
| Non-Profit, Philanthropic:   | No                     | No                                   |
| Other: (limit 50 characters)   | No                     | No                                   |

- 4A-1a. Mainstream Benefits. Applicants must:**
- (1) describe how the CoC works with mainstream programs that assist persons experiencing homelessness to apply for and receive mainstream benefits;**
  - (2) describe how the CoC systematically keeps program staff up-to-date regarding mainstream resources available for persons experiencing homelessness (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs); and**
  - (3) provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy for mainstream benefits. (limit 2,000 characters)**

San Joaquin County Human Services Agency manages TANF, Medicaid, and Food Stamps benefits, using a common intake form to facilitate access. Emergency shelters and outreach projects link residents to Food Stamps and Medicaid enrollment assistance programs. CoC and ESG funded projects also identify households needing mainstream benefits such as TANF and employment services and link them to community partners that facilitate enrollments for health insurance. Behavioral Health Services deploys a team of SOAR trained staff to facilitate SSI enrollments as part of the overall street outreach effort. Residential substance abuse treatment is provided by both Behavioral Health Services and private organizations. The CoC coordinates with the Human Services Agency to actively engage project administrators of

CoC and ESG funded projects, and distributes notices regarding changes in mainstream resource availability and eligibility standards to CoC and ESG funded projects.

**4A-2.Housing First: Applicants must report:**

- (1) total number of new and renewal CoC Program Funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC is applying for in FY 2018 CoC Program Competition; and**
- (2) total number of new and renewal CoC Program Funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC is applying for in FY 2018 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach—meaning that the project quickly houses clients without preconditions or service participation requirements.**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Total number of new and renewal CoC Program Funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC is applying for in FY 2018 CoC Program Competition.  | 13  |
| Total number of new and renewal CoC Program Funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC is applying for in FY 2018 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach—meaning that the project quickly houses clients without preconditions or service participation requirements. | 11  |
| Percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, Safe-Haven, SSO non-Coordinated Entry projects in the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition that will be designated as Housing First.  | 85% |

**4A-3. Street Outreach. Applicants must:**

- (1) describe the CoC’s outreach;**
- (2) state whether the CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC’s geographic area;**
- (3) describe how often the CoC conducts street outreach; and**
- (4) describe how the CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance. (limit 2,000 characters)**

1. Street outreach and engagement to unsheltered homeless within the CoC is undertaken by ten service provider CoC partners, not including law enforcement. Agencies conduct outreach on their own, usually in teams of at least two persons, and in cooperation with each other. Some outreach agencies routinely work in conjunction with local law enforcement agencies who have designated outreach officers.

2. CoC street outreach efforts extend to 100% of the CoC geographic area, including both urban and rural sites.

3. Individual CoC service providers conduct street outreach on a daily or weekly frequency, depending on the provider. Coordinated outreach efforts are usually conducted every other week, no less than monthly. When notified by local or state agencies about an effort to clear an encampment, special coordinated outreach efforts are conducted before and during the clearance.

4. Recognizing the importance of engaging those who are least likely to seek assistance, the CoC outreach efforts have employed a number of strategies: using outreach workers trained to recognize and work with persons with mental health and/or substance use issues; using outreach workers with lived

homeless experience; using outreach workers fluent in Spanish; providing basic medical services outside of formal settings; having a commitment to multiple contacts with persons engaged; ensuring the presence of multiple agencies that provide a diverse array of services to a wide range of subpopulations; providing food, hygiene items, clothing, blankets, mobile showers, etc. without barriers to access; responding to reports of encampments and making sure outreach efforts are extended through the CoC geographic area.

**4A-4. Affirmative Outreach. Applicants must describe:**  
**(1) the specific strategy the CoC implemented that furthers fair housing as detailed in 24 CFR 578.93(c) used to market housing and supportive services to eligible persons regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identify, sexual orientation, age, familial status or disability; and**  
**(2) how the CoC communicated effectively with persons with disabilities and limited English proficiency fair housing strategy in (1) above.**  
**(limit 2,000 characters)**

CoC projects work with project participants to locate and secure safe and adequate affordable housing; if practices by landlords are encountered that violate fair housing practices, participants are connected to legal resources to remedy the situation such as Fair Housing and California Rural Legal Assistance. The availability of housing assistance, including rapid re-housing and permanent supportive housing, and related support services are marketed to all persons in emergency shelters and directly through street outreach to unsheltered persons. Information regarding CoC funded projects are posted on the County-wide 2-1-1 system. Written materials on projects and services are available in English and Spanish.

**4A-5. RRH Beds as Reported in the HIC. Applicants must report the total number of rapid rehousing beds available to serve all household types as reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) for 2017 and 2018.**

|  | 2017 | 2018 | Difference |
|--|------|------|------------|
| RRH beds available to serve all populations in the HIC | 281  | 358  | 77         |

**4A-6. Rehabilitation or New Construction Costs. Are new proposed project applications requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?** No

**4A-7. Homeless under Other Federal Statutes. Is the CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO or TH projects to serve families with children or youth defined as homeless under other Federal statutes?** No

## 4B. Attachments

**Instructions:**

Multiple files may be attached as a single .zip file. For instructions on how to use .zip files, a reference document is available on the e-snaps training site:  
<https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/3118/creating-a-zip-file-and-capturing-a-screenshot-resource>

| Document Type  | Required? | Document Description | Date Attached |
|--|-----------|----------------------|---------------|
| 1C-5. PHA Administration Plan–Homeless Preference  | No        | PHA - preference     | 08/10/2018    |
| 1C-5. PHA Administration Plan–Move-on Multifamily Assisted Housing Owners' Preference            | No        | PHA - Move-on        | 08/10/2018    |
| 1C-8. Centralized or Coordinated Assessment Tool   | Yes       | Assessment tool      | 09/10/2018    |
| 1E-1. Objective Criteria–Rate, Rank, Review, and Selection Criteria (e.g., scoring tool, matrix) | Yes       | CoC ranking and s... | 07/13/2018    |
| 1E-3. Public Posting CoC-Approved Consolidated Application                                       | Yes       |                      |               |
| 1E-3. Public Posting–Local Competition Rate, Rank, Review, and Selection Criteria (e.g., RFP)    | Yes       | Public posting ra... | 09/05/2018    |
| 1E-4. CoC's Reallocation Process   | Yes       | Reallocation process | 09/05/2018    |
| 1E-5. Notifications Outside e-snaps–Projects Accepted  | Yes       | Notification of p... | 09/07/2018    |
| 1E-5. Notifications Outside e-snaps–Projects Rejected or Reduced                                 | Yes       | Rejection notice     | 09/05/2018    |
| 1E-5. Public Posting–Local Competition Deadline  | Yes       | Posting - local c... | 07/13/2018    |
| 2A-1. CoC and HMIS Lead Governance (e.g., section of Governance Charter, MOU, MOA)               | Yes       | CoC Governance       | 08/08/2018    |
| 2A-2. HMIS–Policies and Procedures Manual  | Yes       | HMIS Manual          | 07/09/2018    |
| 3A-6. HDX–2018 Competition Report  | Yes       | HDX 2018 Competit... | 07/09/2018    |
| 3B-2. Order of Priority–Written Standards  | No        | Priorities - writ... | 07/09/2018    |



|   |    |                   |            |
|---|----|-------------------|------------|
| 3B-5. Racial Disparities Summary  | No | Disparity summary | 08/10/2018 |
| 4A-7.a. Project List–Persons Defined as Homeless under Other Federal Statutes (if applicable) | No |                   |            |
| Other   | No |                   |            |
| Other   | No |                   |            |
| Other   | No |                   |            |

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** PHA - preference

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** PHA - Move-on

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Assessment tool

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** CoC ranking and scoring document

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Public posting ranking and review process

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Reallocation process

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Notification of projects accepted

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Rejection notice

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Posting - local competition info

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** CoC Governance

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** HMIS Manual

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** HDX 2018 Competition Report

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Priorities - written standards

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Disparity summary

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## Submission Summary

**Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.**

| Page  | Last Updated    |
|---|-----------------|
| 1A. Identification                              | 09/11/2018      |
| 1B. Engagement                                  | 09/11/2018      |
| 1C. Coordination                                | 09/11/2018      |
| 1D. Discharge Planning                          | 09/11/2018      |
| 1E. Project Review                              | 09/11/2018      |
| 2A. HMIS Implementation                         | 09/11/2018      |
| 2B. PIT Count                                   | 09/11/2018      |
| 2C. Sheltered Data - Methods                    | 09/11/2018      |
| 3A. System Performance                          | 09/11/2018      |
| 3B. Performance and Strategic Planning          | 09/11/2018      |
| 4A. Mainstream Benefits and Additional Policies | 09/11/2018      |
| 4B. Attachments                                 | Please Complete |

  

|                        |         |            |
|------------------------|---------|------------|
| FY2018 CoC Application | Page 46 | 09/11/2018 |
|------------------------|---------|------------|

**Submission Summary**

No Input Required

## **Local Preferences [24 CFR 982.207; HCV p. 4-16]**

PHAs are permitted to establish local preferences, and to give priority to serving families that meet those criteria. HUD specifically authorizes and places restrictions on certain types of local preferences. HUD also permits the PHA to establish other local preferences, at its discretion. Any local preferences established must be consistent with the PHA plan and the consolidated plan, and must be based on local housing needs and priorities that can be documented by generally accepted data sources.

### HACCSJ Policy

HACCSJ will use the following local preferences:

#### **Residency Preference (5 points)**

Families who live, work, or have been hired to work within San Joaquin County at the time of selection from the wait list. Applicants who are working or who have been notified that they are hired to work in the County of San Joaquin must be treated as residents of the residency preference area.

#### **Advancement from Transitional Housing Assistance (4 points)**

HACCSJ will provide a preference of up to fifty vouchers annually, when funding permits from its available HCV Tenant-Based Voucher allocation, to be used to assist San Joaquin County individuals or families who are:

- Participating in a Supportive Housing Program, Shelter Plus Care Program, or Emergency Solutions Grants program; and have been referred by that agency. The referring agency will validate that the families have demonstrated acceptable levels of housing, income, and personal stability; and no longer require affordable housing coupled with the program support services. Where all other considerations are equal, residents of the County of San Joaquin will be selected before non-residents. The waiting list will remain open for this preference. HACCSJ will use the following to select among applicants on the waiting list with the same preference status:
- Date and time of receipt of a completed pre-application.

#### **Victims of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault and Stalking or other violent crimes who reside in San Joaquin County (3 points)**

HACCSJ has a preference for up to 10 vouchers annually, for victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking, or other violent crimes, regardless of sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation who reside in San Joaquin

County. This preference is defined in accordance with the Violence Against Women

Reauthorization Act of 2013 (VAWA) and by local law enforcement agencies. This includes existing tenants of HACCSJ's public housing program who request an emergency transfer from the tenant's current unit and meet the VAWA criteria and/or law enforcement criteria and HACCSJ's Emergency Transfer Plan. HACCSJ will offer a voucher to the existing tenant if the covered housing program does not have another dwelling unit that is available and safe to offer to the tenant. HACCSJ will require documentation from



the victim. Refer to Chapter 15 of this Administration Plan, under “Definitions” for a list of Covered Housing Programs and under “Documentation” for documentation requirements. HACSJ will waive the wait list regulatory requirements for families admitted through this preference who are not on any of HACSJ’s affordable waiting lists.

The waiting list will remain open for this preference. HACSJ will use the following to select among applicants on the waiting list with the same preference status:

- Date and time of receipt of a completed pre-application

### **Displaced by Government Action (3 points)**

New applicants to the Housing Choice Voucher program must be a family displaced within the last twelve (12) months by a natural disaster. The waiting list will remain open for this preference. This includes disasters recognized by a Federal government, which extensively damaged or destroyed their dwelling or is:

- Dilapidated as cited by city/county officials of a local code enforcement office and does not provide safe, adequate shelter; has one or more critical defects or a combination of defects requiring considerable repair or endangers the health, safety, and well-being of family.
- Has been declared unfit for habitation by a government agency.

### **Veteran Preference (1 points)**

Any active duty United States service member, veteran or surviving spouse. Veterans must provide evidence of honorable discharge. Surviving spouses of a deceased service member who is receiving service connected benefits of their deceased spouse will be eligible for this preference.

### **Disability Preference (1 points)**

This preference is extended to disabled persons or families with a disabled member as defined in this Administrative Plan. Proof of disability will be required at time of selection.

### **Income Targeting Requirement [24 CFR 982.201(b)(2)]**

HUD requires that extremely low-income (ELI) families make up at least 75 percent of the families admitted to the HCV program during the PHA’s fiscal year. ELI families are those with annual incomes at or below the federal poverty level or 30 percent of the area median income, whichever number is higher. To ensure this requirement is met, a PHA may skip non-ELI families on the waiting list in order to select an ELI family.

Low-income families admitted to the program that are “continuously assisted” under the 1937 Housing Act [24 CFR 982.4(b)], as well as low-income or moderate-income families admitted to the program that are displaced as a result of the prepayment of the mortgage or voluntary termination of an insurance contract on eligible low-income housing, are not counted for income targeting purposes [24 CFR 982.201(b)(2)(v)].

## **Local Preferences [24 CFR 982.207; HCV p. 4-16]**

PHAs are permitted to establish local preferences, and to give priority to serving families that meet those criteria. HUD specifically authorizes and places restrictions on certain types of local preferences. HUD also permits the PHA to establish other local preferences, at its discretion. Any local preferences established must be consistent with the PHA plan and the consolidated plan, and must be based on local housing needs and priorities that can be documented by generally accepted data sources.

### HACSJ Policy

HACSJ will use the following local preferences:

#### **Residency Preference (5 points)**

Families who live, work, or have been hired to work within San Joaquin County at the time of selection from the wait list. Applicants who are working or who have been notified that they are hired to work in the County of San Joaquin must be treated as residents of the residency preference area.

#### **Advancement from Transitional Housing Assistance (4 points)**

HACSJ will provide a preference of up to fifty vouchers annually, when funding permits from its available HCV Tenant-Based Voucher allocation, to be used to assist San Joaquin County individuals or families who are:

- Participating in a Supportive Housing Program, Shelter Plus Care Program, or Emergency Solutions Grants program; and have been referred by that agency. The referring agency will validate that the families have demonstrated acceptable levels of housing, income, and personal stability; and no longer require affordable housing coupled with the program support services. Where all other considerations are equal, residents of the County of San Joaquin will be selected before non-residents. The waiting list will remain open for this preference. HACSJ will use the following to select among applicants on the waiting list with the same preference status:
  - Date and time of receipt of a completed pre-application.

#### **Victims of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault and Stalking or other violent crimes who reside in San Joaquin County (3 points)**

HACSJ has a preference for up to 10 vouchers annually, for victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking, or other violent crimes, regardless of sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation who reside in San Joaquin

County. This preference is defined in accordance with the Violence Against Women

Reauthorization Act of 2013 (VAWA) and by local law enforcement agencies. This includes existing tenants of HACSJ's public housing program who request an emergency transfer from the tenant's current unit and meet the VAWA criteria and/or law enforcement criteria and HACSJ's Emergency Transfer Plan. HACSJ will offer a voucher to the existing tenant if the covered housing program does not have another dwelling unit that is available and safe to offer to the tenant. HACSJ will require documentation from

the victim. Refer to Chapter 15 of this Administration Plan, under “Definitions” for a list of Covered Housing Programs and under “Documentation” for documentation requirements. HACSJ will waive the wait list regulatory requirements for families admitted through this preference who are not on any of HACSJ’s affordable waiting lists.

The waiting list will remain open for this preference. HACSJ will use the following to select among applicants on the waiting list with the same preference status:

- Date and time of receipt of a completed pre-application

#### **Displaced by Government Action (3 points)**

New applicants to the Housing Choice Voucher program must be a family displaced within the last twelve (12) months by a natural disaster. The waiting list will remain open for this preference. This includes disasters recognized by a Federal government, which extensively damaged or destroyed their dwelling or is:

- Dilapidated as cited by city/county officials of a local code enforcement office and does not provide safe, adequate shelter; has one or more critical defects or a combination of defects requiring considerable repair or endangers the health, safety, and well-being of family.
- Has been declared unfit for habitation by a government agency.

#### **Veteran Preference (1 points)**

Any active duty United States service member, veteran or surviving spouse. Veterans must provide evidence of honorable discharge. Surviving spouses of a deceased service member who is receiving service connected benefits of their deceased spouse will be eligible for this preference.

#### **Disability Preference (1 points)**

This preference is extended to disabled persons or families with a disabled member as defined in this Administrative Plan. Proof of disability will be required at time of selection.

#### **Income Targeting Requirement [24 CFR 982.201(b)(2)]**

HUD requires that extremely low-income (ELI) families make up at least 75 percent of the families admitted to the HCV program during the PHA’s fiscal year. ELI families are those with annual incomes at or below the federal poverty level or 30 percent of the area median income, whichever number is higher. To ensure this requirement is met, a PHA may skip non-ELI families on the waiting list in order to select an ELI family.

Low-income families admitted to the program that are “continuously assisted” under the 1937 Housing Act [24 CFR 982.4(b)], as well as low-income or moderate-income families admitted to the program that are displaced as a result of the prepayment of the mortgage or voluntary termination of an insurance contract on eligible low-income housing, are not counted for income targeting purposes [24 CFR 982.201(b)(2)(v)].



# SYSTEM SETUP

DASHBOARD (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/SETUP/DASHBOARD) **SCREENS (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/SETUP/SCREENS)**

ACCESS ROLES (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/SETUP/ACCESS-ROLE) FIELD EDITOR (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/SETUP/FIELD)

SETTINGS (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/SETUP/SETTING) TEMPLATES (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/SETUP/PROGRAM-TEMPLATE)

SEARCH (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/CLIENTS) CASELOAD (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/CASELOAD)

## SCREEN EDITOR



**Screen Name** VI-SPDAT Prescreen for Single Adults [V2]

---

**Screen Type** Assessments

---

**Agency** Any Agency

---

**Status** Active

---

**Assessment Processor** VI-SPDAT Prescreen for Single Adults [v2]

---

**Eligibility Engine**

[https://stockton.clarityhs.com/setup/screens/119/edit\\_field/5902](https://stockton.clarityhs.com/setup/screens/119/edit_field/5902)

**Primary Language** Select

**Gender**

### A. History of Housing & Homelessness

**Where do you sleep most frequently?** Select

**If Other, Specify**

**How long has it been since you lived in permanent stable housing?** Select

**In the last three years, how many times have you been homeless?** Select

**B. Risks**

**In the past six months, received health care at an emergency department/room?**

Select

**In the past six months, how many times have you taken an ambulance to the hospital?**

Select

**In the past six months, how many times have you been hospitalized as an in-patient?**

Select

**In the past six months, how many times have you used a crisis service, including sexual assault crisis, mental health crisis, family/intimate violence, distress centers and suicide prevention hotlines?**

Select

**In the past six months, how many times have you talked to police because you witnessed a crime, were the victim of a crime, or the alleged perpetrator of a crime or because the police told you that you must move along?**

Select

**In the past six months, how many times have you stayed one or more nights in a holding cell, jail or prison, whether that was a short-term stay like the drunk tank, a longer stay for a more serious offense, or anything in between?**

Select

**Have you been attacked or beaten up since you've become homeless?**

Select

**Have you threatened to or tried to harm yourself or anyone else in the last year?**

Select

Do you have any legal stuff going on right now that may result in you being locked up, having to pay fines or that make it more difficult to rent a place to live?

Select

Does anybody force or trick you to do things that you do not want to do?

Select

Do you ever do things that may be considered to be risky like exchange sex for money, run drugs for someone, have unprotected sex with someone you don't know, share a needle, or anything like that?

Select

C. Socialization

Is there any person, past landlord, business, bookie, dealer, or government group like the IRS that thinks you owe them money?

Select

Do you get any money from the government, a pension, an inheritance, working under the table, a regular job, or anything like that?

Select

Do you have planned activities, other than just surviving, that make you feel happy and fulfilled?

Select

Are you currently able to take care of basic needs like bathing, changing clothes, using a restroom, getting food and clean water and other things like that?

Select

Is your current homelessness in any way caused by a relationship that broke down, an unhealthy or abusive relationship, or because family or friends caused you to become evicted?

Select

D. Wellness

Have you ever had to leave an apartment, shelter program, or other place you were staying because of your physical health?

Select

Do you have any chronic health issues with your liver, kidneys, stomach lungs or heart?

Select

If there was space available in a program that specifically assists people that live with HIV or AIDS, would that be of interest to you?

Select

Do you have any physical disabilities that would limit the type of housing you could access, or would make it hard to live independently because you'd need help?

Select

When you are sick or not feeling well, do you avoid getting help?

Select

Are you currently pregnant?

Select



Has your drinking or drug use led you to being kicked out of an apartment or program where you were staying in the past?

Select

Will drinking or drug use make it difficult for you to stay housed or afford your housing?

Select

Have you ever had trouble maintaining your housing, or been kicked out of an apartment, shelter program or other place you were staying, because of:

A mental health issue or concern?

Select

A past head injury?

Select

A learning disability, developmental disability, or other impairment?

Select

Do you have any mental health or brain issues that would make it hard for you to live independently because you'd need help?

Select

Are there any medications that a doctor said you should be taking that, for whatever reason, you are not taking?

Select

Are there any medications like painkillers that you don't take the way the doctor prescribed or where you sell the medication?

Select

Has your current period of homelessness been caused by an experience of emotional, physical, psychological, sexual, or other type of abuse, or by any other trauma you have experienced?

Select

Finally, I'd like to ask you some questions to help us better understand homelessness and improve housing and support services.

Veteran Status

Select

Military Service Era

Select



Discharge Status

Select



What is your citizenship status?

Select

Where did you live prior to becoming homeless?

Select

Have you ever been in foster care?

Select

Have you ever been in jail?

Select

Have you ever been in prison?

Select



Do you have a permanent physical disability that limits your mobility? [i.e., wheelchair, amputation, unable to climb stairs]?

Select

---

What type of health insurance do you have, if any?

MEDICAID

MEDICARE

VA Medical

Private Insurance

No Health Insurance

Other

On a regular day, where is it easiest to find you and what time of day is easiest to do so?

---

I'd like to take your picture. May I do so?



# SYSTEM SETUP

DASHBOARD (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/SETUP/DASHBOARD) **SCREENS (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/SETUP/SCREENS)**

ACCESS ROLES (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/SETUP/ACCESS-ROLE) FIELD EDITOR (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/SETUP/FIELD)

SETTINGS (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/SETUP/SETTING) TEMPLATES (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/SETUP/PROGRAM-TEMPLATE)

SEARCH (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/CLIENTS)

CASELOAD (HTTPS://STOCKTON.CLARITYHS.COM/CASELOAD)

## SCREEN EDITOR



**Screen Name** VI-F-SPDAT Prescreen for Families [V2]

**Screen Type** Assessments

**Agency** Any Agency

**Status** Active

**Assessment Processor** VI-F-SPDAT Prescreen for Families [v2]

### Eligibility Engine

SAVE CHANGES CANCEL

**Assessment Date**

**Primary Language** Select

Is there a secondary Head of Household?

**Second HoH Full Name**

**Second HoH Gender** Select

**Second HoH Date of Birth**

### Children

Total number of children under age 18 that are currently with you, or that you have reason to believe will be joining you when you get housed?

Select

IF HOUSEHOLD INCLUDES A FEMALE: Is any member of the family currently pregnant?

Select

Child 1: Full Name

DOB

DOB input field with slashes



Child 2: Full Name

DOB

DOB input field with slashes



Child 3: Full Name

DOB

DOB input field with slashes



Child 4: Full Name

DOB

DOB input field with slashes



Child 5: Full Name

DOB

DOB input field with slashes



Child 6: Full Name

DOB

DOB input field with slashes



Child 7: Full Name

DOB

DOB input field with slashes



Child 8: Full Name

DOB

DOB input field with slashes



Child 9: Full Name

DOB

DOB input field with slashes



Child 10: Full Name

DOB

DOB input field with slashes



A. History of Housing & Homelessness

Where do you and your family sleep most frequently?

Select

If Other, Specify



How long has it been since you and your family lived in permanent stable housing?

Select

In the last three years, how many times have you and your family been homeless?

Select

**B. Risks**

**In the past six months, how many times have you or anyone in your family received health care at an emergency department/room?**

Select

---

**In the past six months, how many times have you or anyone in your family taken an ambulance to the hospital?**

Select

---

**In the past six months, how many times have you or anyone in your family been hospitalized as an inpatient?**

Select

---

**In the past six months, how many times have you or anyone in your family used a crisis service, including sexual assault crisis, mental health crisis, family/intimate violence, distress centers and suicide prevention hotlines?**

Select

---

**In the past six months, how many times have you or anyone in your family talked to police because they witnessed a crime, were the victim of a crime, or the alleged perpetrator of a crime or because the police told them that they must move along?**

Select

---

**In the past six months, how many times have you or anyone in your family stayed one or more nights in a holding cell, jail or prison, whether that was a short-term stay like the drunk tank, a longer stay for a more serious offence, or anything in between?**

Select

---

**Have you or anyone in your family been attacked or beaten up since they've become homeless?**

Select

---

Have you or any family member threatened to or tried to harm themselves or anyone else in the last year?

Select

Do you or any member of the family have any legal stuff going on right now that may result in them being locked up, having to pay fines, or that make it more difficult to rent a place to live?

Select

Does anybody force or trick you or anyone in your family to do things that you do not want to do?

Select

Do you or anyone in your family ever do things that may be considered to be risky like exchange sex for money, run drugs for someone, have unprotected sex with someone they don't know, share a needle, or anything like that?

Select

C. Socialization & Daily Functions

Is there any person, past landlord, business, bookie, dealer, or government group like the IRS that thinks you or anyone in your family owe them money?

Select

Do you or anyone in your family get any money from the government, a pension, an inheritance, working under the table, a regular job, or anything like that?

Select

Does everyone in your family have planned activities, other than just surviving, that make them feel happy and fulfilled?

Select

Is everyone in your family currently able to take care of basic needs like bathing, changing clothes, using a restroom, getting food and clean water and other things like that?

Select

Is your family's current homelessness in any way caused by a relationship that broke down, an unhealthy or abusive relationship, or because other family or friends caused your family to become evicted?

Select

---

**D. Wellness**

Has your family ever had to leave an apartment, shelter program, or other place you were staying because of the physical health of you or anyone in your family?

Select

---

Do you or anyone in your family have any chronic health issues with your liver, kidneys, stomach, lungs or heart?

Select

---

If there was space available in a program that specifically assists people that live with HIV or AIDS, would that be of interest to you or anyone in your family?

Select

---

Does anyone in your family have any physical disabilities that would limit the type of housing you could access, or would make it hard to live independently because you'd need help?

Select

---

When someone in your family is sick or not feeling well, does your family avoid getting medical help?

Select

---

Has drinking or drug use by you or anyone in your family led your family to being kicked out of an apartment or program where you were staying in the past?

Select

---

Will drinking or drug use make it difficult for your family to stay housed or afford your housing?

Select

---

Has your family ever had trouble maintaining your housing, or been kicked out of an apartment, shelter program or other place you were staying, because of:

A mental health issue or concern?

A past head injury?

A learning disability, developmental disability, or other impairment?

Do you or anyone in your family have any mental health or brain issues that would make it hard for your family to live independently because help would be needed?

Does any single member of your household have a medical condition, mental health concerns, and experience with problematic substance use?

Are there any medications that a doctor said you or anyone in your family should be taking that, for whatever reason, they are not taking?

Are there any medications like painkillers that you or anyone in your family don't take the way the doctor prescribed or where they sell the medication?

Has your family's current period of homelessness been caused by an experience of emotional, physical, psychological, sexual, or other type of abuse, or by any other trauma you or anyone in your family have experienced?

E. Family Unit

Are there any children that have been removed from the family by a child protection service within the last 180 days?

Select

Do you have any family legal issues that are being resolved in court or need to be resolved in court that would impact your housing or who may live within your housing?

Select

In the last 180 days have any children lived with family or friends because of your homelessness or housing situation?

Select

Has any child in the family experienced abuse or trauma in the last 180 days?

Select

IF THERE ARE SCHOOL-AGED CHILDREN: Do your children attend school more often than not each week?

Select

Have the members of your family changed in the last 180 days, due to things like divorce, your kids coming back to live with you, someone leaving for military service or incarceration, a relative moving in, or anything like that?

Select

Do you anticipate any other adults or children coming to live with you within the first 180 days of being housed?

Select

Do you have two or more planned activities each week as a family such as outings to the park, going to the library, visiting other family, watching a family movie, or anything like that?

Select

After school, or on weekends or days when there isn't school, is the total time children spend each day where there is no interaction with you or another responsible adult...

3 or more hours per day for children aged 13 or older?

Select



2 or more hours per day for children aged 12 or younger?

Select

Do your older kids spend 2 or more hours on a typical day helping their younger sibling(s) with things like getting ready for school, helping with homework, making them dinner, bathing them, or anything like that?

Select

Finally, I'd like to ask you some questions to help us better understand homelessness and improve housing and support services.

Veteran Status

Select

Military Service Era

Select



Discharge Status

Select



What is your citizenship status?

Select

Where did you live prior to becoming homeless?

Select

Have you ever been in foster care?

Select

Have you ever been in jail?

Select

Have you ever been in prison?

Select

Do you or any member of the family have a permanent physical disability that limits mobility? (i.e. wheelchair, amputation, unable to climb stairs)?

Select

What type of health insurance do you have, if any?

MEDICAID

MEDICARE

VA Medical

Private Insurance

No Health  
Insurance

Other

On a regular day,  
where is it easiest to  
find you and what  
time of day is easiest  
to do so?

I'd like to take your  
picture. May I do  
so?

Managed with Clarity Human Services

# SAN JOAQUIN CONTINUUM OF CARE

## Project evaluation and ranking

July 2018

### INTRODUCTION

Annually, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) holds a national competition for Continuum of Care (CoC) Program funds. This competition brings funds into San Joaquin County to provide housing and services to individuals and families who are experiencing homelessness.

These Scoring Instructions have been developed to measure project performance and capacity using objective scoring criteria, including the HEARTH Performance Measures. These Instructions detail how the Application Committee of the CoC will evaluate project applications.

Project scores will be used to review projects that are submitted to HUD in the FY2018 CoC competition. However, in order to best serve our community by providing effective projects and capturing the maximum funds available, projects will be ranked according to HUD's priorities as established in the FY2018 Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA), as well as according to local priorities and need as evaluated and determined through the local Continuum of Care.

To gather the necessary information to properly consider each project, the Application Committee will use data from the San Joaquin County Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), Annual Performance Reports (APRs), and other sources, such as fiscal records. The source of the data reviewed is specified for each criterion.

### SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Scoring Instructions are used to measure project performance and capacity using objective scoring criteria, including the HEARTH Performance Measures. Renewal and new projects will be scored according to two (2) subcategories:

- 1) Standard Renewals: renewing projects that have operated for a full 12-month period;
  - 2) New Projects: projects that have never been awarded CoC Program funds.
- All projects must be ranked and scored according to the criteria listed under the sections "THRESHOLD – all projects", "General" and "Programs (all applicants)".
  - The section "Program performance – renewals" only applies to renewal projects; new projects are not scored under this section.
  - The sections "ADDITIONAL THRESHOLD – new projects", "Program performance – new projects", and "Agency capacity – new projects" only applies to new projects; renewal projects are not scored under these sections.
  - Program performance scoring for renewal and new projects is program specific as indicated in each section: Rapid Re-housing (RRH) or Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH).
  - Both renewal and new applications must indicate whether the project is RRH or PSH and should be scored only on that criteria.

## **RANKING POLICIES**

These Ranking Policies are consistent with HUD's policy priorities as described in the FY2018 CoC Program Registration Notice, and also align with local priorities and needs:

### HUD priorities

- Ending homelessness for all persons
- Creating a systemic response to homelessness
- Strategically allocating and using resources
- Use a Housing First approach

### CoC priorities

- The CoC recognizes that HUD requires each CoC to maintain a functioning HMIS and the CoC has determined that continued support of the Continuum-wide HMIS is a critical component of all CoC and ESG funded projects. Based on that understanding, the CoC has determined as a matter of policy that the HMIS renewal project will be the top ranked project as long as basic threshold requirements are met.
- Renewal projects will be ranked in Tier 1 unless there are justifications for ranking the project lower, such as poor performance or a failure to meet HUD priorities or local needs.
- New projects will be ranked lower than renewal projects, unless the Review Committee determines the project meets both HUD priorities and local needs by improving the CoC's outcomes and reducing homelessness more effectively than a renewal project(s).

## **REALLOCATION**

For the FY2018 competition, new projects may be created through reallocation. A CoC may reallocate part or all of a renewal project's funding to create one or more new projects that meet both HUD priorities and local needs by improving the CoC's outcomes and reducing homelessness more effectively than the reallocated renewal project.

The reallocation of funds and/or project(s) may occur in the following circumstances:

- The applicant makes the determination to not submit an application for a renewal project;
- Due to poor performance or other justifications based on a failure to meet HUD priorities or local needs:
  - The Application Committee recommends that a renewal project is not submitted to HUD in the annual competition, and/or
  - The Application Committee recommends that a renewal project is submitted to HUD in the annual competition, but at a reduced funding request.

The Review Committee, using the Scoring Instructions and Ranking Policies, reviews and ranks projects and makes recommendations for reallocation. All recommendations by the Review Committee must be ratified by the CoC

The applicant of a project recommended for reallocation is notified, in writing, via email and letter. Notification must occur within the timeframe established by HUD, and no later than fifteen (15) days before the CoC Consolidation Application submission deadline to HUD.

**THRESHOLD CRITERIA**

Project applications will be reviewed to determine whether they meet eligibility thresholds; projects that do not meet the threshold criteria will not be scored. Applications will be rejected and not considered for review for any of the following reasons: (1) application materials are not received by the deadline, (2) the application is not consistent with the Consolidated Plan, (3) the agency has outstanding County or HUD monitoring, or Office of Inspector General (OIG) Audit finding(s) that are overdue or unsatisfactory, (4) the project does not comply with the requirements of the CoC interim rule (24 CFR part 578), including requirements to participate in the Coordinated Entry (CE) System and the San Joaquin County HMIS.

| THRESHOLD – all projects                             | Pass/Fail |
|--|-----------|
| Applicant has valid 501(c)(3) status                 |           |
| Application material received by deadline            |           |
| Application is consistent with the Consolidated Plan |           |
| Applicant has no County, HUD, or OIG findings        |           |
| Project complies with 24 CFR part 578                |           |
| Application demonstrates required match/leverage     |           |

| General  | Source      | Points |   |
|--|-------------|--------|---|
| Annual audit   | Application | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |
| HMIS participation   | HMIS        | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |
| Refused/unknown responses for HMIS universal data elements | HMIS        | ≤10%   | 2 |
|  |             | >10%   | 0 |
| Missing responses for HMIS universal data elements         | HMIS        | ≤1%    | 2 |
|  |             | >1%    | 0 |
| CoC participation  | CoC records | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |

| Programs (all applicants)  | Source   | Points       |   |
|--|--|--------------|---|
| Program type   | Application  | PSH          | 4 |
|  |  | RRH          | 3 |
|  |  | Transitional | 1 |
|  |  | SSO          | 0 |
| Project serves one or more of the following:<br>Chronic homeless<br>Veterans<br>Families with children<br>Youth (under 25)<br>Victims of domestic violence<br>LGBTQ+ | Application<br><i>(Applicants receive one point for each group served, up to a maximum of 6)</i> | Yes          | 6 |
|  |  | No           | 0 |
| Support services request (percent of budget request)   | Application  | ≤20%         | 4 |
|  |  | 21% to 35%   | 2 |
|  |  | >35%         | 0 |

|   |             |                  |   |
|---|-------------|------------------|---|
| Does not require sobriety for program acceptance  | Application | Yes, it does not | 2 |
|   |             | No, it does      | 0 |
| Does not require income for program acceptance  | Application | Yes, it does not | 2 |
|   |             | No, it does      | 0 |
| Does not require agreement to accept services for program acceptance                      | Application | Yes, it does not | 2 |
|   |             | No, it does      | 0 |
| Does not requires applicant to be “housing ready” for program acceptance                  | Application | Yes, it does not | 2 |
|   |             | No, it does      | 0 |
| Accepts applicants directly from shelters or the streets                                  | Application | Yes              | 2 |
|   |             | No               | 0 |
| Project does not terminate participation for any reason not included in a lease agreement | Application | Yes, it does not | 2 |
|   |             | No, it does      | 0 |

*Use the section below to score renewal projects only*

| Program performance – renewals  | Source          | Points       |   |
|---|-----------------|--------------|---|
| APR submitted on time   | e-Snaps or Sage | Yes          | 2 |
|   |                 | No           | 0 |
| RRH - % of participants exiting to permanent housing                        | HMIS            | ≥80%         | 6 |
|   |                 | ≥75% to <80% | 5 |
|   |                 | ≥70% to <75% | 3 |
|   |                 | ≥65% to <70% | 1 |
|   |                 | <65%         | 0 |
| PSH - % of participants who maintained or exited to PH                      | HMIS            | ≥90%         | 8 |
|   |                 | ≥85% to <90% | 6 |
|   |                 | ≥80% to <85% | 4 |
|   |                 | ≥75% to <80% | 2 |
|   |                 | <75%         | 0 |
| RRH - % of persons 18 and older who increased total income at exit          | HMIS            | ≥60%         | 6 |
|   |                 | ≥50% to <60% | 4 |
|   |                 | ≥40% to <50% | 2 |
|   |                 | <40%         | 0 |
| RRH - % of persons 18 and older who increased earned income at exit         | HMIS            | ≥80%         | 6 |
|   |                 | ≥70% to <80% | 4 |
|   |                 | ≥65% to <70% | 2 |
|   |                 | <65%         | 0 |
| PSH - % or persons 18 and older who increased total income at exit          | HMIS            | ≥40%         | 4 |
|   |                 | ≥30% to <40% | 2 |
|   |                 | <30%         | 0 |
| PSH - % of persons 18 and older who increased earned income at exit         | HMIS            | ≥20%         | 4 |
|   |                 | ≥10% to <20% | 2 |
|   |                 | <10%         | 0 |
| Average % bed/unit utilization rate (last Wednesday January and April 2018) | HMIS            | >95%         | 6 |
|   |                 | 90% to <95%  | 5 |
|   |                 | 85% to <90%  | 3 |
|   |                 | 80% to <85%  | 1 |
|   |                 | <80%         | 0 |

*Use the section below to score new projects only*

| ADDITIONAL THRESHOLD – new projects   | Pass/Fail |
|---|-----------|
| The project is Permanent Supportive Housing and is dedicated solely to chronically homeless   |           |
| The project is Rapid Re-housing and is dedicated to households coming directly from the streets or shelters, or meeting the criteria of paragraph 4 of HUD’s definition of homeless |           |

| Program performance – new projects   | Source      | Points       |   |
|--|-------------|--------------|---|
|  |             |              |   |
| RRH - % of participants who will exit to permanent housing   | Application | ≥80%         | 2 |
|  |             | ≥70% to <80% | 1 |
|  |             | <70%         | 0 |
| RRH - % of participants who will be placed in PH within 30 days of entry to the project                              | Application | ≥75%         | 2 |
|  |             | 60% to <75%  | 1 |
|  |             | <60%         | 0 |
| PSH - % of participants who will maintain or exit to PH  | Application | ≥90%         | 4 |
|  |             | 85% to <90%  | 2 |
|  |             | 80% to <85%  | 1 |
|  |             | <80%         | 0 |
| RRH - % of persons 18 and older who will increase total income at exit   | Application | ≥60%         | 3 |
|  |             | 50% to <60%  | 1 |
|  |             | <50%         | 0 |
| PSH - % of persons 18 and older who will increase total income at exit   | Application | ≥80%         | 2 |
|  |             | 70% to <80%  | 1 |
|  |             | <70%         | 0 |
| RRH - % of persons 18 and older who will increase earned income at exit  | Application | ≥80%         | 2 |
|  |             | 70% to <80%  | 1 |
|  |             | <70%         | 0 |
| PSH - % of persons 18 and older who will increase earned income at exit  | Application | ≥20%         | 2 |
|  |             | ≥10% to <20% | 1 |
|  |             | <10%         | 0 |
| Project will provide transportation assistance to clients to attend benefits appointments, employment training, etc. | Application | Yes          | 2 |
|  |             | No           | 0 |
| Project will assist participants in applying for and maintaining mainstream benefits                                 | Application | Yes          | 2 |
|  |             | No           | 0 |
| Days from grant execution to house first participant   | Application | <30          | 4 |
|  |             | 31-60        | 2 |
|  |             | >60          | 0 |
| Days from grant execution for project to be at capacity  | Application | <90          | 4 |
|  |             | 91 to 120    | 2 |
|  |             | >120         | 0 |

| Agency capacity – new projects   | Source      | Points |   |
|--|-------------|--------|---|
| Currently operates at least one other federally funded project                     | Application | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 1 |
| Years of experience documenting homelessness according to HUD's definition         | Application | ≥3     | 2 |
|  |             | 1-2    | 1 |
|  |             | <1     | 0 |
| Years of experience documenting chronic homelessness according to CoC Interim Rule | Application | ≥2     | 2 |
|  |             | 1      | 1 |
|  |             | <1     | 0 |
| Years of experience operating an RRH project                                       | Application | ≥2     | 2 |
|  |             | 1      | 1 |
|  |             | <1     | 0 |
| Currently operating an RRH project   | Application | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |
| Experience in successfully implementing a low barrier approach project             | Application | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |
| Experience in successfully implementing a housing first approach project           | Application | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |
| Experience in connecting participants to mainstream resources                      | Application | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |



# SAN JOAQUIN CONTINUUM OF CARE

## Project evaluation and ranking

July 2018

### INTRODUCTION

Annually, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) holds a national competition for Continuum of Care (CoC) Program funds. This competition brings funds into San Joaquin County to provide housing and services to individuals and families who are experiencing homelessness.

These Scoring Instructions have been developed to measure project performance and capacity using objective scoring criteria, including the HEARTH Performance Measures. These Instructions detail how the Application Committee of the CoC will evaluate project applications.

Project scores will be used to review projects that are submitted to HUD in the FY2018 CoC competition. However, in order to best serve our community by providing effective projects and capturing the maximum funds available, projects will be ranked according to HUD's priorities as established in the FY2018 Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA), as well as according to local priorities and need as evaluated and determined through the local Continuum of Care.

To gather the necessary information to properly consider each project, the Application Committee will use data from the San Joaquin County Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), Annual Performance Reports (APRs), and other sources, such as fiscal records. The source of the data reviewed is specified for each criterion.

### SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Scoring Instructions are used to measure project performance and capacity using objective scoring criteria, including the HEARTH Performance Measures. Renewal and new projects will be scored according to two (2) subcategories:

- 1) Standard Renewals: renewing projects that have operated for a full 12-month period;
  - 2) New Projects: projects that have never been awarded CoC Program funds.
- All projects must be ranked and scored according to the criteria listed under the sections "THRESHOLD – all projects", "General" and "Programs (all applicants)".
  - The section "Program performance – renewals" only applies to renewal projects; new projects are not scored under this section.
  - The sections "ADDITIONAL THRESHOLD – new projects", "Program performance – new projects", and "Agency capacity – new projects" only applies to new projects; renewal projects are not scored under these sections.
  - Program performance scoring for renewal and new projects is program specific as indicated in each section: Rapid Re-housing (RRH) or Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH).
  - Both renewal and new applications must indicate whether the project is RRH or PSH and should be scored only on that criteria.

## **RANKING POLICIES**

These Ranking Policies are consistent with HUD's policy priorities as described in the FY2018 CoC Program Registration Notice, and also align with local priorities and needs:

### HUD priorities

- Ending homelessness for all persons
- Creating a systemic response to homelessness
- Strategically allocating and using resources
- Use a Housing First approach

### CoC priorities

- The CoC recognizes that HUD requires each CoC to maintain a functioning HMIS and the CoC has determined that continued support of the Continuum-wide HMIS is a critical component of all CoC and ESG funded projects. Based on that understanding, the CoC has determined as a matter of policy that the HMIS renewal project will be the top ranked project as long as basic threshold requirements are met.
- Renewal projects will be ranked in Tier 1 unless there are justifications for ranking the project lower, such as poor performance or a failure to meet HUD priorities or local needs.
- New projects will be ranked lower than renewal projects, unless the Review Committee determines the project meets both HUD priorities and local needs by improving the CoC's outcomes and reducing homelessness more effectively than a renewal project(s).

## **REALLOCATION**

For the FY2018 competition, new projects may be created through reallocation. A CoC may reallocate part or all of a renewal project's funding to create one or more new projects that meet both HUD priorities and local needs by improving the CoC's outcomes and reducing homelessness more effectively than the reallocated renewal project.

The reallocation of funds and/or project(s) may occur in the following circumstances:

- The applicant makes the determination to not submit an application for a renewal project;
- Due to poor performance or other justifications based on a failure to meet HUD priorities or local needs:
  - The Application Committee recommends that a renewal project is not submitted to HUD in the annual competition, and/or
  - The Application Committee recommends that a renewal project is submitted to HUD in the annual competition, but at a reduced funding request.

The Review Committee, using the Scoring Instructions and Ranking Policies, reviews and ranks projects and makes recommendations for reallocation. All recommendations by the Review Committee must be ratified by the CoC

The applicant of a project recommended for reallocation is notified, in writing, via email and letter. Notification must occur within the timeframe established by HUD, and no later than fifteen (15) days before the CoC Consolidation Application submission deadline to HUD.

**THRESHOLD CRITERIA**

Project applications will be reviewed to determine whether they meet eligibility thresholds; projects that do not meet the threshold criteria will not be scored. Applications will be rejected and not considered for review for any of the following reasons: (1) application materials are not received by the deadline, (2) the application is not consistent with the Consolidated Plan, (3) the agency has outstanding County or HUD monitoring, or Office of Inspector General (OIG) Audit finding(s) that are overdue or unsatisfactory, (4) the project does not comply with the requirements of the CoC interim rule (24 CFR part 578), including requirements to participate in the Coordinated Entry (CE) System and the San Joaquin County HMIS.

| THRESHOLD – all projects                             | Pass/Fail |
|--|-----------|
| Applicant has valid 501(c)(3) status                 |           |
| Application material received by deadline            |           |
| Application is consistent with the Consolidated Plan |           |
| Applicant has no County, HUD, or OIG findings        |           |
| Project complies with 24 CFR part 578                |           |
| Application demonstrates required match/leverage     |           |

| General  | Source      | Points |   |
|--|-------------|--------|---|
| Annual audit   | Application | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |
| HMIS participation   | HMIS        | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |
| Refused/unknown responses for HMIS universal data elements | HMIS        | ≤10%   | 2 |
|  |             | >10%   | 0 |
| Missing responses for HMIS universal data elements         | HMIS        | ≤1%    | 2 |
|  |             | >1%    | 0 |
| CoC participation  | CoC records | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |

| Programs (all applicants)  | Source   | Points       |   |
|--|--|--------------|---|
| Program type   | Application  | PSH          | 4 |
|  |  | RRH          | 3 |
|  |  | Transitional | 1 |
|  |  | SSO          | 0 |
| Project serves one or more of the following:<br>Chronic homeless<br>Veterans<br>Families with children<br>Youth (under 25)<br>Victims of domestic violence<br>LGBTQ+ | Application<br><i>(Applicants receive one point for each group served, up to a maximum of 6)</i> | Yes          | 6 |
|  |  | No           | 0 |
| Support services request (percent of budget request)   | Application  | ≤20%         | 4 |
|  |  | 21% to 35%   | 2 |
|  |  | >35%         | 0 |

|   |             |                  |   |
|---|-------------|------------------|---|
| Does not require sobriety for program acceptance  | Application | Yes, it does not | 2 |
|   |             | No, it does      | 0 |
| Does not require income for program acceptance  | Application | Yes, it does not | 2 |
|   |             | No, it does      | 0 |
| Does not require agreement to accept services for program acceptance                      | Application | Yes, it does not | 2 |
|   |             | No, it does      | 0 |
| Does not requires applicant to be "housing ready" for program acceptance                  | Application | Yes, it does not | 2 |
|   |             | No, it does      | 0 |
| Accepts applicants directly from shelters or the streets                                  | Application | Yes              | 2 |
|   |             | No               | 0 |
| Project does not terminate participation for any reason not included in a lease agreement | Application | Yes, it does not | 2 |
|   |             | No, it does      | 0 |

*Use the section below to score renewal projects only*

| Program performance – renewals  | Source          | Points       |   |
|---|-----------------|--------------|---|
| APR submitted on time   | e-Snaps or Sage | Yes          | 2 |
|   |                 | No           | 0 |
| RRH - % of participants exiting to permanent housing                        | HMIS            | ≥80%         | 6 |
|   |                 | ≥75% to <80% | 5 |
|   |                 | ≥70% to <75% | 3 |
|   |                 | ≥65% to <70% | 1 |
|   |                 | <65%         | 0 |
| PSH - % of participants who maintained or exited to PH                      | HMIS            | ≥90%         | 8 |
|   |                 | ≥85% to <90% | 6 |
|   |                 | ≥80% to <85% | 4 |
|   |                 | ≥75% to <80% | 2 |
|   |                 | <75%         | 0 |
| RRH - % of persons 18 and older who increased total income at exit          | HMIS            | ≥60%         | 6 |
|   |                 | ≥50% to <60% | 4 |
|   |                 | ≥40% to <50% | 2 |
|   |                 | <40%         | 0 |
| RRH - % of persons 18 and older who increased earned income at exit         | HMIS            | ≥80%         | 6 |
|   |                 | ≥70% to <80% | 4 |
|   |                 | ≥65% to <70% | 2 |
|   |                 | <65%         | 0 |
| PSH - % or persons 18 and older who increased total income at exit          | HMIS            | ≥40%         | 4 |
|   |                 | ≥30% to <40% | 2 |
|   |                 | <30%         | 0 |
| PSH - % of persons 18 and older who increased earned income at exit         | HMIS            | ≥20%         | 4 |
|   |                 | ≥10% to <20% | 2 |
|   |                 | <10%         | 0 |
| Average % bed/unit utilization rate (last Wednesday January and April 2018) | HMIS            | >95%         | 6 |
|   |                 | 90% to <95%  | 5 |
|   |                 | 85% to <90%  | 3 |
|   |                 | 80% to <85%  | 1 |
|   |                 | <80%         | 0 |

*Use the section below to score new projects only*

| ADDITIONAL THRESHOLD – new projects   | Pass/Fail |
|---|-----------|
| The project is Permanent Supportive Housing and is dedicated solely to chronically homeless   |           |
| The project is Rapid Re-housing and is dedicated to households coming directly from the streets or shelters, or meeting the criteria of paragraph 4 of HUD’s definition of homeless |           |

| Program performance – new projects   | Source      | Points       |   |
|--|-------------|--------------|---|
|  |             |              |   |
| RRH - % of participants who will exit to permanent housing   | Application | ≥80%         | 2 |
|  |             | ≥70% to <80% | 1 |
|  |             | <70%         | 0 |
| RRH - % of participants who will be placed in PH within 30 days of entry to the project                              | Application | ≥75%         | 2 |
|  |             | 60% to <75%  | 1 |
|  |             | <60%         | 0 |
| PSH - % of participants who will maintain or exit to PH  | Application | ≥90%         | 4 |
|  |             | 85% to <90%  | 2 |
|  |             | 80% to <85%  | 1 |
|  |             | <80%         | 0 |
| RRH - % of persons 18 and older who will increase total income at exit   | Application | ≥60%         | 3 |
|  |             | 50% to <60%  | 1 |
|  |             | <50%         | 0 |
| PSH - % of persons 18 and older who will increase total income at exit   | Application | ≥80%         | 2 |
|  |             | 70% to <80%  | 1 |
|  |             | <70%         | 0 |
| RRH - % of persons 18 and older who will increase earned income at exit  | Application | ≥80%         | 2 |
|  |             | 70% to <80%  | 1 |
|  |             | <70%         | 0 |
| PSH - % of persons 18 and older who will increase earned income at exit  | Application | ≥20%         | 2 |
|  |             | ≥10% to <20% | 1 |
|  |             | <10%         | 0 |
| Project will provide transportation assistance to clients to attend benefits appointments, employment training, etc. | Application | Yes          | 2 |
|  |             | No           | 0 |
| Project will assist participants in applying for and maintaining mainstream benefits                                 | Application | Yes          | 2 |
|  |             | No           | 0 |
| Days from grant execution to house first participant   | Application | <30          | 4 |
|  |             | 31-60        | 2 |
|  |             | >60          | 0 |
| Days from grant execution for project to be at capacity  | Application | <90          | 4 |
|  |             | 91 to 120    | 2 |
|  |             | >120         | 0 |

| Agency capacity – new projects   | Source      | Points |   |
|--|-------------|--------|---|
| Currently operates at least one other federally funded project                     | Application | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 1 |
| Years of experience documenting homelessness according to HUD's definition         | Application | ≥3     | 2 |
|  |             | 1-2    | 1 |
|  |             | <1     | 0 |
| Years of experience documenting chronic homelessness according to CoC Interim Rule | Application | ≥2     | 2 |
|  |             | 1      | 1 |
|  |             | <1     | 0 |
| Years of experience operating an RRH project                                       | Application | ≥2     | 2 |
|  |             | 1      | 1 |
|  |             | <1     | 0 |
| Currently operating an RRH project   | Application | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |
| Experience in successfully implementing a low barrier approach project             | Application | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |
| Experience in successfully implementing a housing first approach project           | Application | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |
| Experience in connecting participants to mainstream resources                      | Application | Yes    | 2 |
|  |             | No     | 0 |

**From:** [Cheshire, Adam](#)  
**To:** [Cheshire, Adam](#)  
**Bcc:** "[Cynthia L. Abbott](#)"; "[John Acosta](#)"; "[Tammy Alcantor](#)"; "[Melissa Amos - Women's Center Youth & Family Services](#)"; "[Ashle Archangel](#)"; "[Dan Arriola](#)"; "[Rosemary Atkinson](#)"; "[Anne Baird](#)"; "[Jeremy Baird](#)"; "[Percy Barrow](#)"; "[Ken Baxter](#)"; "[Becerra, Chris](#)"; "[John Beckman](#)"; "[Mike Belcher](#)"; "[Sharon Benninger](#)"; "[Bonpua, Vera](#)"; "[Cynthia Borges LMFT](#)"; "[Michael Borges](#)"; "[Damon Bridges](#)"; "[Kelly Briggs](#)"; "[Nancy Brison-Moll](#)"; "[William Brown](#)"; "[Dennis Buettner \[BHS\]](#)"; "[Cameron Burns](#)"; "[Butler, Peter](#)"; "[Jane Butterfield](#)"; "[Ray Call](#)"; "[Cheshire, Adam](#)"; "[Elsa Cisar](#)"; "[Patrice Clemons](#)"; "[Ana Contreras](#)"; "[Julie Damron](#)"; "[Suzy Daveluy](#)"; "[Doug Davis](#)"; "[Sandra Deaver](#)"; "[Yvonne Derby-Wills](#)"; "[Lakshmi Dhanvanthari](#)"; "[Greg A. Diederich \[HCS\]](#)"; "[Steve Dresser](#)"; "[Yvonne Eden](#)"; "[Elliott, Mark](#)"; "[Vecky Elliott](#)"; "[Helen Ellis](#)"; "[Tony Reyes Saucedo Eloppe](#)"; "[Chief Jodie Estarziau](#)"; "[Rodney Estrada \[EH\]](#)"; "[David Eveler - Tracy Community Connections Center](#)"; "[Susan Feighery](#)"; "[Edward Figueroa](#)"; "[John Foley](#)"; "[Rebecca Forrest](#)"; "[Mary Foshee](#)"; "[Ron Freitas](#)"; "[Monica Gamboa](#)"; "[Natascha Garcia](#)"; "[Renee Garcia](#)"; "[Wayne Germann](#)"; "[Charles Goeken](#)"; "[Michael Gotschall - Manteca Gospel Rescue Mission](#)"; "[Margaret Guttieri](#)"; "[Doug Hanson](#)"; "[Hart, Connie](#)"; "[Russ Hayward](#)"; "[Benjamin Henderson](#)"; "[James Henderson](#)"; "[Sharon Hendrix](#)"; "[Hendry, Todd](#)"; "[Chee Her](#)"; "[Da Her](#)"; "[Stacey Hernandez RN](#)"; "[Lorrie Herrera](#)"; "[Sharon Herrera](#)"; "[Ryan Holz](#)"; "[Hoo, Ray](#)"; "[Michael Huber](#)"; "[Frances Hutchins \[BHS\]](#)"; "[Chris Jacobson](#)"; "[James, Stephanie](#)"; "[Allison Jeffery](#)"; "[Patrick Johnson](#)"; "[Mirna Juarez](#)"; "[Britton Kimball](#)"; "[Doug Kuehne](#)"; "[Nancy Lamb](#)"; "[Miles Larson](#)"; "[John Ledbetter](#)"; "[Dawn Lee](#)"; "[Lopez, Ashley](#)"; "[Sarah Lopez](#)"; "[Chinu Mehdi](#)"; "[Meela Mehdi](#)"; "[Kelly, Michael](#)"; "[Steve Maynard](#)"; "[Robert McClellon](#)"; "[Scott Meadors](#)"; "[Leticia Meza](#)"; "[Lyell, Miriam](#)"; "[Bill Mendelson](#)"; "[Jon Mendelson](#)"; "[jmendelson@readytoworksjc.org](#)"; "[Tamara Metcalf](#)"; "[Janice Miller](#)"; "[Miller, Katherine](#)"; "[Michael Miller](#)"; "[Andrew Mendoza](#)"; "[Douglas Montandon](#)"; "[Julie Moralez](#)"; "[Debby Morehead - City of Manteca](#)"; "[Gary Prost](#)"; "[Morisaki, Gloria](#)"; "[Cindy Morishige \[BHS\]](#)"; "[John Narvaez](#)"; "[Tran Nguyen](#)"; "[Nino, Monica](#)"; "[Christine Noguera](#)"; "[Joe H Norman](#)"; "[Tim Ogden](#)"; "[CityManager@ci.manteca.ca.us](#)"; "[John A Oliva](#)"; "[Billy Olpin \[BHS\]](#)"; "[Carol J. Ornelas](#)"; "[Chief Edward Ormonde](#)"; "[Isabella Padilla Pereira](#)"; "[Hemal Parikh \[PHS\]](#)"; "[Tod Patterson](#)"; "[Daniel Pasquale](#)"; "[Pemberton, Adriann D.](#)"; "[Tiffany Phovixay](#)"; "[Randy Pinelli](#)"; "[Merlin Pittman](#)"; "[Deborah Pitts](#)"; "[John Ledbetter](#)"; "[Peter Ragsdale - Housing Authority, County of San Joaquin](#)"; "[Elvira Ramirez - Catholic Charities](#)"; "[Maria Ramos](#)"; "[Kayce Rane](#)"; "[Rhodesia Ransom](#)"; "[Ryan Redondo](#)"; "[Anna](#)"; "[Chad Reed](#)"; "[Wayne Richardson](#)"; "[Arthur Rodriguez](#)"; "[Olga Rodriguez](#)"; "[Aaron Rose](#)"; "[Kelly Rose - SJC Public Health Services](#)"; "[Jennifer Rowel](#)"; "[Kay Ruhstaller](#)"; "[Stephen Salvatore](#)"; "[Benjamin Safford](#)"; "[Rosa Solis](#)"; "[Stephen Schwabauer](#)"; "[Leslie Sena](#)"; "[Tammy Shaff](#)"; "[Amy Smith](#)"; "[Ebony Stagg](#)"; "[Rachel Standley](#)"; "[Mark Thielenhaus](#)"; "[Steve Thompson - Tracy Community Connections Center](#)"; "[Linda Turkatte](#)"; "[Uplift All](#)"; "[Sothea Ung](#)"; "[Tony Vartan \[BHS\]](#)"; "[Dr. Ernie Vasti](#)"; "[Dan Velasquez](#)"; "[Max Vargas](#)"; "[Tori Verber](#)"; "[Alice Walkup](#)"; "[Rhonda Welsh](#)"; "[Kevin Werner](#)"; "[Michelle Whitaker](#)"; "[Dwight Williams](#)"; "[Kurt O. Wilson](#)"; "[Courtney Wood](#)"; "[Woods, Christopher](#)"; "[Trevor Womack](#)"; "[Astabie, Suzanne](#)"; "[Diana Cervantes](#)"; "[Christian Clegg](#)"; "[Karen Costa - City of Stockton](#)"; "[Sharon Davis](#)"; "[Bridget Gaines](#)"; "[Guinnane, Andrea](#)"; "[Connie Henderson](#)"; "[Florence Low - City of Stockton](#)"; "[Duzenski, Mimi](#)"; "[Leina Warren - Family Resources and Referral Center](#)"; "[Jennifer Ferraiolo](#)"; "[John Bayley](#)"; "[Filios, Susan](#)"; "[Gary Rubly](#)"; "[Gary Prost](#)"; "[Sheila L. James](#)"; "[Mike Anderson](#)"; "[Winn, Charles](#)"; "[Warmerdam, Denise](#)"; "[Rene Armendariz](#)"; "[Doris Cody](#)"; "[Nicole Dunlap](#)"; "[Octavio.Lopez@tracypd.com](#)"; "[eluna807@gmail.com](#)"; "[Rebecca.forrest@dycora.com](#)"; "[Teresa.mendoza@dycora.com](#)"; "[steve.clayton@tracypd.com](#)"; "[Bradley, Randall](#)"; "[Patricia Barrett](#)"; "[Tony Eloppe](#)"; "[Jonathan Jacoby](#)"; "[Esteves, Patty](#)"; "[Beltran, Elisa](#)"; "[Richard Lopez](#)"; "[Myisha Murchison](#)"; "[Linda Frontz](#)"; "[Ernesto Gonzalez](#)"; "[Nhu Ly Tran](#)"; "[Sullivan, Kerry](#)"; "[Micah Runner](#)"; "[Derek McGinnis](#)"; "[James Farthing](#)"; "[DelRio, Michelle](#)"; "[Alan Nakanishi](#)"; "[Marianne Deschaine](#)"; "[Mendez, Erica](#)"; "[Mary Stacher](#)"; "[Kay Speckels](#)"; "[Rosa Solis](#)"; "[Cecily Ballungay](#)"; "[Carena Lane](#)"; "[Theresa Nantor](#)"; "[Karen Murray](#)"; "[Joan Singson](#)"; "[Geneva Moorad](#)"; "[Kristina Forino](#)"; "[Margaret McFaddin](#)"; "[Vaunita Duval](#)"; "[Fred Muskal](#)"; "[Linda Tremble](#)"; "[Cecily Ballungay](#)"; "[Theresa Nantor](#)"; "[Fred Muskal](#)"; "[Don Aguillard](#)"; "[Helene Schneider](#)"; "[Marilyn Alcorn](#)"; "[Chantenique Anderson](#)"; "[Roger Phillips](#)"; "[John Bays](#)"; "[Michael Ellis Langley](#)"; "[Rubianes, Kristina](#)"; "[Bill Meyer](#)"; "[Brenda Witt](#)"; "[Dotty Nygard](#)"; "[Melinda Ramirez](#)"; "[Catalina Olvera](#)"; "[Giovanni Olvera](#)"; "[Jann Jackson](#)"; "[Barbara Pombo](#)"; "[Bob & Alyce Eversole](#)"; "[Yvette West](#)"; "[Joyce Claffey](#)"; "[Brian Jensen](#)"; "[Kate Hutchinson](#)"; "[curt.klaus@hud.gov](#)"; "[Magallanes, Maria L.](#)"; "[Cameron.Burns@stocktonca.gov](#)"; "[Steve Falco](#)"; "[johanne@jhoytotheworld.org](#)"; "[Gary McGee](#)"; "[Marie Godges](#)"; "[Dorothea Pennington](#)"; "[Kelly Olds](#)"; "[Melanie Estarzian](#)"; "[Edgar Bossana](#)"

**Subject:** CoC Priority Listing Approval  
**Date:** Friday, August 24, 2018 3:10:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[San Joaquin Continuum of Care Priority Listing 2018.pdf](#)

Good afternoon,

As promised at yesterday's meeting, please see attached the CoC Priority Listing for the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition. Per the deadlines established in the local timeline, the CoC Application Committee developed an update to the Scoring and Ranking criteria, accepted and reviewed letters of intent and project applications from eligible applicants, and scored and ranked the projects according to the requirements of the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Per page 5 of the NOFA:

*Project applications submitted to the CoC for inclusion on the FY 2018 CoC Priority Listing as part of the CoC Consolidated Application must be reviewed and either accepted and ranked or rejected by the CoC. All project applications approved by the CoC must be listed on the CoC Priority Listing in rank order, except project applications for CoC planning and UFA Costs which will not be ranked, to establish the project applications located within Tier 1 and the project applications located within Tier 2, as described in Section II.B.10 of this NOFA. The purpose of this two-tiered approach is for CoCs to indicate to HUD which projects are prioritized for funding.*

Please respond to this e-mail indicating your acceptance or rejection of the Application Committee's Priority Listing for the 2018 Program Competition. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Thank you,

*Adam Cheshire*

Program Administrator for Homeless Initiatives  
San Joaquin County Administrator's Office  
Office: (209) 468-3399  
Fax: (209) 468-2875





| PROJECT                    | RANK | BUDGET AMOUNT | APPLICANT                | COMPONENT TYPE | PROJECT DESCRIPTION  |
|----------------------------|------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------|--|
| CHARM                      | 1    | \$98,540      | Central Valley Housing   | HMIS           | Homeless Management Information System   |
| Shelter Plus Care combined | 2    | \$2,155,023   | Central Valley Housing   | PH-PSH         | Permanent Supportive Housing targeting chronically homeless, 283 beds                        |
| Shelter Plus Care 5        | 3    | \$156,282     | Central Valley Housing   | PH-PSH         | Permanent Supportive Housing targeting chronically homeless, 21 beds                         |
| Shelter Plus Care 6        | 4    | \$86,914      | Central Valley Housing   | PH-PSH         | Permanent Supportive Housing targeting chronically homeless, 21 beds                         |
| Hermanas I                 | 5    | \$151,654     | Central Valley Housing   | PH-RRH         | Rapid Re-Housing serving women with substance abuse issues and their children, 30 beds       |
| SPICE                      | 6    | \$155,368     | Central Valley Housing   | PH-PSH         | Permanent Supportive Housing targeting chronically homeless in shared housing, 27 beds       |
| HOPE                       | 7    | \$407,883     | Lutheran Social Services | PH-PSH         | Permanent Supportive Housing targeting emancipated foster and transition-aged youth, 33 beds |
| Horizons                   | 8    | \$208,767     | Central Valley Housing   | PH-RRH         | Rapid Re-Housing serving homeless families, 38 beds  |
| CARE                       | 9    | \$415,491     | Central Valley Housing   | PH-RRH         | Rapid Re-Housing serving households with children, 112 beds                                  |
| Homelessness to Homes      | 10   | \$298,490     | Central Valley Housing   | PH-RRH         | Rapid Re-Housing serving homeless families, 88 beds  |
| Hermanas II                | 11   | \$151,260     | Central Valley Housing   | PH-RRH         | Rapid Re-Housing serving women with substance abuse issues and their children, 30 beds       |
| Achieving Change Together  | 12   | \$307,269     | Lutheran Social Services | PH-PSH         | Permanent Supportive Housing targeting chronically homeless, 20 beds                         |
| Partnership in Housing     | 13   | \$257,000     | STAND/HACSI/SSH          | PH-PSH         | Permanent Supportive Housing targeting chronically homeless, 12 beds                         |
| MHCWC UNITA                | 14   | \$213,140     | Mountain's Hope          | PH-PSH         | Permanent Supportive Housing targeting chronically homeless, 16 beds                         |

| FY-2018-CoC-Program-Estimated-ARD | TOTALS      |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Preliminary Pro Rata Need         | \$4,620,261 |
| Estimated Annual Renewal Demand   | \$4,285,672 |
| Estimated ARD at 94%              | \$4,028,532 |
| Bonus                             | \$277,216   |

|                                  |
|----------------------------------|
| Projects in Tier 1               |
| Projects straddling Tier 1 and 2 |
| Projects in Tier 2               |

**1E-5. Local CoC Competition. Applicants must indicate whether the CoC:**  
**(1) established a deadline for project applications that was no later than 30 days before the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition Application deadline—attachment required;**  
**(2) rejected or reduced project application(s)—attachment required; and**  
**(3) notify applicants that their project application(s) were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps, at least 15 days before FY 2018 CoC Program Competition Application deadline—attachment required. :**

No project applications were rejected.

How can we help you?

Translate

FEEDBACK

BP-1605196 - 271 E GRAZIA AV, MOUNTAIN HOUSE  
BP-1605197 - 281 E GRAZIA AV, MOUNTAIN HOUSE  
BP-1700366 - 931 E LA FOLLETTE AV, STOCKTON

UNABLE TO APPLY FOR ONLINE PERMITS AT THIS TIME!

### Search for Property Information in Unincorporated Areas



Or Search for your address or parcel below.  
EXAMPLES  
Parcel Number: 123-456-78  
*(The parcel number can be typed with or without dashes '\*')*  
Address Number & Street Name: 123 Street  
*(Type the address number and street name in its simplest form.)*

Street Address

### Notices

**ESG APPLICATION - 2018**

Posted: 06/25/2018

**2018 SAN JOAQUIN CONTINUUM OF CARE NOFA TIMELINE**

**2018 SAN JOAQUIN COC LOI**

**2018 COC PROGRAM COMPETITION NOFA**

Posted: 05/29/2018

**2018-2019 NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING FOR DRAFT ANNUAL ACTION PLAN**

### Quick Links

For counter forms and handouts please visit the Building and Planning pages on the right side bar.

Planning

Building

Other

Fireworks Display Notification

GIS - Mapping

Homeless

Mountain House

Neigh. Preservation

PACE

Planning

Planning Commission

Planning Forms/Handouts

Registered Events for Wineries and Off-Site Wine Cellars

**2018 HDX Competition Report**  
**HIC Data for CA-511 - Stockton/San Joaquin County CoC**

**HMIS Bed Coverage Rate**

| <b>Project Type</b>                     | <b>Total Beds in 2018 HIC</b> | <b>Total Beds in 2018 HIC Dedicated for DV</b> | <b>Total Beds in HMIS</b> | <b>HMIS Bed Coverage Rate</b> |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Emergency Shelter (ES) Beds             | 904                           | 62   | 842                       | 100.00%                       |
| Safe Haven (SH) Beds                    | 0                             | 0  | 0                         | NA                            |
| Transitional Housing (TH) Beds          | 313                           | 0  | 235                       | 75.08%                        |
| Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) Beds             | 358                           | 0  | 358                       | 100.00%                       |
| Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) Beds | 718                           | 0  | 718                       | 100.00%                       |
| Other Permanent Housing (OPH) Beds      | 0                             | 0  | 0                         | NA                            |
| Total Beds                              | 2,293                         | 62   | 2153                      | 96.50%                        |

**2018 HDX Competition Report**  
**PIT Count Data for CA-511 - Stockton/San Joaquin County CoC**

**Total Population PIT Count Data**

|                                       | 2016 PIT | 2017 PIT | 2018 PIT |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count | 1780     | 1542     | 1685     |
| Emergency Shelter Total               | 609      | 794      | 927      |
| Safe Haven Total                      | 0        | 0        | 0        |
| Transitional Housing Total            | 636      | 181      | 191      |
| Total Sheltered Count                 | 1245     | 975      | 1118     |
| Total Unsheltered Count               | 535      | 567      | 567      |

**Chronically Homeless PIT Counts**

|   | 2016 PIT | 2017 PIT | 2018 PIT |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons | 247      | 254      | 262      |
| Sheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons                       | 37       | 130      | 138      |
| Unsheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons                     | 210      | 124      | 124      |

## 2018 HDX Competition Report

### PIT Count Data for CA-511 - Stockton/San Joaquin County CoC

#### Homeless Households with Children PIT Counts

|  | 2016 PIT | 2017 PIT | 2018 PIT |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of the Number of Homeless Households with Children | 242      | 165      | 187      |
| Sheltered Count of Homeless Households with Children                                     | 227      | 160      | 182      |
| Unsheltered Count of Homeless Households with Children                                   | 15       | 5        | 5        |

#### Homeless Veteran PIT Counts

|  | 2011 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--|------|------|------|------|
| Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of the Number of Homeless Veterans | 112  | 138  | 109  | 96   |
| Sheltered Count of Homeless Veterans                                     | 92   | 77   | 77   | 64   |
| Unsheltered Count of Homeless Veterans                                   | 20   | 61   | 32   | 32   |

**2018 HDX Competition Report**  
**HIC Data for CA-511 - Stockton/San Joaquin County CoC**

**PSH Beds Dedicated to Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness**

| <b>Chronically Homeless Bed Counts</b>  | <b>2016 HIC</b> | <b>2017 HIC</b> | <b>2018 HIC</b> |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Number of CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded PSH beds dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons identified on the HIC | 202             | 186             | 264             |

**Rapid Rehousing (RRH) Units Dedicated to Persons in Household with Children**

| <b>Households with Children</b>                  | <b>2016 HIC</b> | <b>2017 HIC</b> | <b>2018 HIC</b> |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| RRH units available to serve families on the HIC | 3               | 78              | 94              |

**Rapid Rehousing Beds Dedicated to All Persons**

| <b>All Household Types</b>                             | <b>2016 HIC</b> | <b>2017 HIC</b> | <b>2018 HIC</b> |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| RRH beds available to serve all populations on the HIC | 28              | 281             | 358             |

# 2018 HDX Competition Report

## FY2017 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

### Summary Report for CA-511 - Stockton/San Joaquin County CoC

For each measure enter results in each table from the System Performance Measures report generated out of your CoCs HMIS System. There are seven performance measures. Each measure may have one or more "metrics" used to measure the system performance. Click through each tab above to enter FY2017 data for each measure and associated metrics.

**RESUBMITTING FY2017 DATA:** If you provided revised FY2017 data, the original FY2017 submissions will be displayed for reference on each of the following screens, but will not be retained for analysis or review by HUD.

**ERRORS AND WARNINGS:** If data are uploaded that creates selected fatal errors, the HDX will prevent the CoC from submitting the System Performance Measures report. The CoC will need to review and correct the original HMIS data and generate a new HMIS report for submission.

Some validation checks will result in warnings that require explanation, but will not prevent submission. Users should enter a note of explanation for each validation warning received. To enter a note of explanation, move the cursor over the data entry field and click on the note box. Enter a note of explanation and "save" before closing.

### Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than October, 1, 2012.

**Metric 1.1:** Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.

**Metric 1.2:** Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client's entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.



## 2018 HDX Competition Report

### FY2017 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

|                               | Universe (Persons) |                 |         | Average LOT Homeless (bed nights) |                 |         |            | Median LOT Homeless (bed nights) |                 |         |            |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|
|                               | Submitted FY 2016  | Revised FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Submitted FY 2016                 | Revised FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference | Submitted FY 2016                | Revised FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
| 1.1 Persons in ES and SH      | 3542               |                 | 6966    | 62                                |                 | 41      | -21        | 23                               |                 | 16      | -7         |
| 1.2 Persons in ES, SH, and TH | 4268               |                 | 7193    | 129                               |                 | 54      | -75        | 41                               |                 | 16      | -25        |

b. This measure is based on data element 3.17.

This measure includes data from each client's Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client's entry date, effectively extending the client's entry date backward in time. This "adjusted entry date" is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client's actual entry date.

The construction of this measure changed, per HUD's specifications, between FY 2016 and FY 2017. HUD is aware that this may impact the change between these two years.

|  | Universe (Persons) |                 |         | Average LOT Homeless (bed nights) |                 |         |            | Median LOT Homeless (bed nights) |                 |         |            |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|
|  | Submitted FY 2016  | Revised FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Submitted FY 2016                 | Revised FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference | Submitted FY 2016                | Revised FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
| 1.1 Persons in ES, SH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")     | 3548               |                 | 7066    | 118                               |                 | 99      | -19        | 38                               |                 | 16      | -22        |
| 1.2 Persons in ES, SH, TH, and PH (prior to "housing move in") | 4350               |                 | 7332    | 185                               |                 | 114     | -71        | 70                               |                 | 16      | -54        |

## 2018 HDX Competition Report FY2017 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

### Measure 2: The Extent to which Persons who Exit Homelessness to Permanent Housing Destinations Return to Homelessness

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

After entering data, please review and confirm your entries and totals. Some HMIS reports may not list the project types in exactly the same order as they are displayed below.

|                               | Total # of Persons who Exited to a Permanent Housing Destination (2 Years Prior) |         | Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months |         |              | Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months |         |              | Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months |         |              | Number of Returns in 2 Years |              |
|-------------------------------|--|---------|---|---------|--------------|---|---------|--------------|--|---------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|
|                               | Revised FY 2016  | FY 2017 | Revised FY 2016                               | FY 2017 | % of Returns | Revised FY 2016                             | FY 2017 | % of Returns | Revised FY 2016                              | FY 2017 | % of Returns | FY 2017                      | % of Returns |
| Exit was from SO              |  | 49      |   | 17      | 35%          |   | 7       | 14%          |  | 0       | 0%           | 24                           | 49%          |
| Exit was from ES              |  | 515     |   | 57      | 11%          |   | 31      | 6%           |  | 35      | 7%           | 123                          | 24%          |
| Exit was from TH              |  | 213     |   | 9       | 4%           |   | 8       | 4%           |  | 10      | 5%           | 27                           | 13%          |
| Exit was from SH              |  | 0       |   | 0       |              |   | 0       |              |  | 0       |              | 0                            |              |
| Exit was from PH              |  | 133     |   | 9       | 7%           |   | 3       | 2%           |  | 8       | 6%           | 20                           | 15%          |
| TOTAL Returns to Homelessness |  | 910     |   | 92      | 10%          |   | 49      | 5%           |  | 53      | 6%           | 194                          | 21%          |

### Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

#### Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

## 2018 HDX Competition Report FY2017 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

This measures the change in PIT counts of sheltered and unsheltered homeless person as reported on the PIT (not from HMIS).

|  | January 2016<br>PIT Count | January 2017<br>PIT Count | Difference |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered persons | 1780                      | 1542                      | -238       |
| Emergency Shelter Total  | 609                       | 794                       | 185        |
| Safe Haven Total   | 0                         | 0                         | 0          |
| Transitional Housing Total                                     | 636                       | 181                       | -455       |
| Total Sheltered Count  | 1245                      | 975                       | -270       |
| Unsheltered Count  | 535                       | 567                       | 32         |

### Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

|   | Submitted<br>FY 2016 | Revised<br>FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|
| Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons | 4277                 |                    | 7245    | 2968       |
| Emergency Shelter Total                                 | 3558                 |                    | 7018    | 3460       |
| Safe Haven Total  | 0                    |                    | 0       | 0          |
| Transitional Housing Total                              | 953                  |                    | 355     | -598       |

## 2018 HDX Competition Report

### FY2017 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

#### Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

|  | Submitted FY 2016 | Revised FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|
| Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)      | 292               |                 | 304     | 12         |
| Number of adults with increased earned income    | 8                 |                 | 28      | 20         |
| Percentage of adults who increased earned income | 3%                |                 | 9%      | 6%         |

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

|   | Submitted FY 2016 | Revised FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|
| Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)                   | 292               |                 | 304     | 12         |
| Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income    | 76                |                 | 172     | 96         |
| Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income | 26%               |                 | 57%     | 31%        |

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

|   | Submitted FY 2016 | Revised FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|
| Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)     | 292               |                 | 304     | 12         |
| Number of adults with increased total income    | 82                |                 | 192     | 110        |
| Percentage of adults who increased total income | 28%               |                 | 63%     | 35%        |

## 2018 HDX Competition Report

### FY2017 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

#### Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

|  | Submitted<br>FY 2016 | Revised<br>FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|
| Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)   | 250                  |                    | 172     | -78        |
| Number of adults who exited with increased earned income | 97                   |                    | 65      | -32        |
| Percentage of adults who increased earned income         | 39%                  |                    | 38%     | -1%        |

#### Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

|   | Submitted<br>FY 2016 | Revised<br>FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|
| Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)                | 250                  |                    | 172     | -78        |
| Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income | 67                   |                    | 57      | -10        |
| Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income         | 27%                  |                    | 33%     | 6%         |

#### Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

|   | Submitted<br>FY 2016 | Revised<br>FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|
| Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)  | 250                  |                    | 172     | -78        |
| Number of adults who exited with increased total income | 143                  |                    | 103     | -40        |
| Percentage of adults who increased total income         | 57%                  |                    | 60%     | 3%         |

## 2018 HDX Competition Report

### FY2017 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

#### Measure 5: Number of persons who become homeless for the 1st time

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

|   | Submitted<br>FY 2016 | Revised<br>FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|
| Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH or TH during the reporting period.  | 3423                 |                    | 6799    | 3376       |
| Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.   | 923                  |                    | 1175    | 252        |
| Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time) | 2500                 |                    | 5624    | 3124       |

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

|  | Submitted<br>FY 2016 | Revised<br>FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|
| Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.   | 3825                 |                    | 7154    | 3329       |
| Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.  | 1089                 |                    | 1241    | 152        |
| Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.) | 2736                 |                    | 5913    | 3177       |

2018 HDX Competition Report  
**FY2017 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)**

**Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects**

This Measure is not applicable to CoCs in FY2017 (Oct 1, 2016 - Sept 30, 2017) reporting period.

**Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing**

**Metric 7a.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations**

|   | Submitted<br>FY 2016 | Revised<br>FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|
| Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach  | 507                  |                    | 1100    | 593        |
| Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations | 39                   |                    | 41      | 2          |
| Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations          | 14                   |                    | 3       | -11        |
| % Successful exits  | 10%                  |                    | 4%      | -6%        |

**Metric 7b.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations**

## 2018 HDX Competition Report

### FY2017 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

|   | Submitted<br>FY 2016 | Revised<br>FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|
| Universe: Persons in ES, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing | 3794                 |                    | 6543    | 2749       |
| Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations  | 1101                 |                    | 932     | -169       |
| % Successful exits  | 29%                  |                    | 14%     | -15%       |

#### Metric 7b.2 – Change in exit to or retention of permanent housing

|   | Submitted<br>FY 2016 | Revised<br>FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Difference |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|
| Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH  | 697                  |                    | 763     | 66         |
| Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations | 681                  |                    | 722     | 41         |
| % Successful exits/retention  | 98%                  |                    | 95%     | -3%        |



# 2018 HDX Competition Report

## FY2017 - SysPM Data Quality

### CA-511 - Stockton/San Joaquin County CoC

This is a new tab for FY 2016 submissions only. Submission must be performed manually (data cannot be uploaded). Data coverage and quality will allow HUD to better interpret your Sys PM submissions.

Your bed coverage data has been imported from the HIC module. The remainder of the data quality points should be pulled from data quality reports made available by your vendor according to the specifications provided in the HMIS Standard Reporting Terminology Glossary. You may need to run multiple reports into order to get data for each combination of year and project type.

You may enter a note about any field if you wish to provide an explanation about your data quality results. This is not required.

## 2018 HDX Competition Report FY2017 - SysPM Data Quality

|  | All ES, SH |           |           |           | All TH    |           |           |           | All PSH, OPH |           |           |           | All RRH   |           |           |           | All Street Outreach |           |           |           |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|  | 2013-2014  | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2013-2014    | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2013-2014           | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| 1. Number of non-DV Beds on HIC                          | 813        | 671       | 639       | 810       | 693       | 760       | 797       | 300       | 581          | 635       | 771       | 771       | 6         | 5         | 28        | 281       |                     |           |           |           |
| 2. Number of HMIS Beds                                   | 740        | 613       | 605       | 616       | 649       | 704       | 707       | 210       | 439          | 462       | 439       | 416       | 6         | 5         | 25        | 281       |                     |           |           |           |
| 3. HMIS Participation Rate from HIC ( % )                | 91.02      | 91.36     | 94.68     | 76.05     | 93.65     | 92.63     | 88.71     | 70.00     | 75.56        | 72.76     | 56.94     | 53.96     | 100.00    | 100.00    | 89.29     | 100.00    |                     |           |           |           |
| 4. Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)                    | 0          | 3830      | 3630      | 7021      | 0         | 1034      | 962       | 355       | 0            | 483       | 729       | 785       | 0         | 192       | 608       | 893       | 0                   | 571       | 492       | 469       |
| 5. Total Leavers (HMIS)                                  | 0          | 3277      | 3113      | 6269      | 0         | 471       | 795       | 241       | 0            | 76        | 79        | 116       | 0         | 131       | 278       | 472       | 0                   | 527       | 473       | 442       |
| 6. Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS) | 0          | 2647      | 2013      | 5311      | 0         | 196       | 37        | 48        | 0            | 2         | 5         | 23        | 0         | 11        | 1         | 15        | 0                   | 425       | 410       | 318       |
| 7. Destination Error Rate (%)                            |            | 80.78     | 64.66     | 84.72     |           | 41.61     | 4.65      | 19.92     |              | 2.63      | 6.33      | 19.83     |           | 8.40      | 0.36      | 3.18      |                     | 80.65     | 86.68     | 71.95     |

## 2018 HDX Competition Report Submission and Count Dates for CA-511 - Stockton/San Joaquin County CoC

### Date of PIT Count

|                                   | Date      | Received HUD Waiver |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| Date CoC Conducted 2018 PIT Count | 1/24/2018 |                     |

### Report Submission Date in HDX

|                               | Submitted On | Met Deadline |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 2018 PIT Count Submittal Date | 4/13/2018    | Yes          |
| 2018 HIC Count Submittal Date | 4/13/2018    | Yes          |
| 2017 System PM Submittal Date | 5/22/2018    | Yes          |